

1 AB 47 Mapping Requirements, 2004

2 Final Rule Language

3 [Rule language adopted by Board of Forestry on September 1, 2004.]

4 Amend 14 CCR § 912.9 [932.9, 952.9], Technical Rule Addendum No. 2, as
5 follows:

6
7 **912.9 [932.9, 952.9] Cumulative Impacts Assessments Checklist**

8 * * * *

9 **BOARD OF FORESTRY**
10 **TECHNICAL RULE ADDENDUM NO. 2**
11 **CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ASSESSMENT**

12 * * * *

13 **Past and Future Activities**

14 Past and future projects included in the cumulative impacts assessment
15 shall be described as follows:

16 A. Identify and briefly describe the location of past and reasonably
17 foreseeable probable future projects as defined in 14 CCR § 895.1 within
18 described resource assessment areas. Include a map or maps and associated
19 legend(s) clearly depicting the following information:

20 1. Township and Range numbers and Section lines.

21 2. Boundary of the planning watershed(s) within which the
22 plan area is located along with the CALWATER 2.2 identification
23 number.

24 3. Location and boundaries of past, present and reasonably
25 foreseeable probable future timber harvesting projects on land owned
or controlled by the timberland owner of the proposed timber harvest
within the planning watershed(s) depicted in section (2) above. For

1 purposes of this section, past projects shall be limited to those
2 projects submitted within ten years prior to submission of the THP.

3 4. Silvicultural methods for each of the timber harvesting
4 projects depicted in section (3) above. Each specific silvicultural
5 method must be clearly delineated on the map(s), and
6 associated THP number referenced in the legend or an annotated list.
7 In addition, shading, hatching, or labeling shall be used which
8 clearly differentiates silvicultural methods into one of the four
9 categories outlined in Table 1.

10 5. A north arrow and scale bar (or scale text).

11 6. Source(s) of geographical information.

12 The map scale shall be large enough to clearly represent one planning
13 watershed per page or of a scale not less than 1:63,360. Planning
14 watersheds with densely situated or overlapping harvest units, or
15 those which are large or irregular in size, may require multiple maps
16 to achieve clarity. Map(s) shall be reproducible on black & white
17 copiers, and submitted on an 8½ x 11 page(s).

Table 1

<u>Silvicultural Category</u>	<u>Silvicultural Method</u>
<u>Evenaged Management</u> <u>14 CCR § 913.1</u> <u>[933.1, 953.1]</u>	<u>Clearcutting, Seed Tree Seed Step, Seed Tree Removal Step, Shelterwood Preparatory Step, Shelterwood Seed Step, Shelterwood Removal Step</u>
<u>Unevenaged Management</u> <u>14 CCR § 913.2</u> <u>[933.2, 953.2]</u>	<u>Selection, Group Selection, Transition</u>
<u>Intermediate Treatments</u> <u>14 CCR § 913.3</u> <u>[933.3, 953.3]</u>	<u>Commercial Thinning, Sanitation-Salvage</u>
<u>Special Prescriptions and Other Management</u> <u>14 CCR § 913.4</u> <u>[933.4, 953.4]</u>	<u>Special Treatment Area Prescriptions, Rehabilitation of Understocked Area Prescription, Fuelbreak/Defensible Space, Southern Subdistrict Special Harvesting Method (14 CCR § 913.8), Variable Retention, Conversion</u>
<u>Alternative Prescriptions shall be put into the category within which the most nearly appropriate or feasible silvicultural method in the Forest Practice Rules is found pursuant to 14 CCR § 913.6 (b)(3)[933.6(b)(3), 953.6(b)(3)].</u>	

1 **B.** Identify and give the location and description of any
2 known, continuing significant environmental problems caused by past
3 projects as defined in 14 CCR § 895.1. The RPF who prepares the plan
4 or supervised designee shall obtain information from plan submitters
5 (timberland or timber owner), and from appropriate agencies,
6 landowners, and individuals about past, and future land management
7 activities and shall consider past experience, if any, in the
8 assessment area related to past impacts and the impacts of the
9 proposed operations, rates of recovery, and land uses. A poll of
10 adjacent land owners is encouraged and may be required by the Director
11 to determine such activities and significant adverse environmental
12 problems on adjacent ownerships.

13 * * * *

14 Authority cited: Sections 4551, 4551.9, and 21080.5, Public Resources Code.

15 Reference: Sections 4512, 4513, 4551.5, 4551.9, 4582.6, 21000(g), 21002 and
16 21080.5, Public Resources Code; Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. v.

17 Arcata Nat. Corp. (1976) 59 Cal.App.3d 959; 131 Cal.Rptr. 172; and Laupheimer
18 v. State (1988) 200 Cal.App.3d 440; 246 Cal.Rptr. 82.

19 AB 47 Pleading 10_14_04.doc