



OCTOBER-DECEMBER 1987

Vol. 6 No. 4

LICENSING NEWS



BOARD OF FORESTRY
PROFESSIONAL FORESTERS REGISTRATION

P.O. BOX 944246
SACRAMENTO, CA 94244-2460
TELEPHONE: (916) 445-6843
445-3014

WHAT'S NEW WITH FORESTERS LICENSING

License Fee Increase for 1988-89? - The Board of Forestry will consider a proposed license fee increase from \$40.00 to \$55.00 annually. The proposal and "statement of reasons" will be noticed soon for public comment. Contact this office if you want a copy.

"OUT ON A LIMB" THE LICENSING LAW AND ITS APPLICATION

NO RESPONSIBILITY BEYOND PREPARATION - (Disclaimer: The following hypothetical scenario is presented for raising awareness and does not substitute appropriate legal advice).

Situation and Evaluation - Ira Rpf prepared a Timber Harvesting Plan as a consultant for the submitter, Mr. Tree Farmer, and noted as RPF that he had no responsibility beyond preparation of the plan. Ira received a call from the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CDF) stating they had to notify Mr. Farmer that the plan was unacceptable for filing. Ira wanted to know why his plan was denied! He was concerned because Mr. Farmer had all ready complained to Ira about the delay of the plan process "just to cut a few trees," and Ira's percentage fee of the gross delivered value. The Department clarified the plan was not denied; it was never accepted for the review process because of deficiencies. The reasons provided were:

1. A list of adjacent owners within 300 feet of the plan boundary was not submitted (Title 14, California Administrative Code [CAC], Section 1032.7[e]).
2. The watercourses were not designated on the THP map, and the size of culverts at permanent crossings were not noted (14CAC914.8[e], 916.4[a], and 1034[x]).

In the conversation, CDF asked if the area was flagged where Ira requested an exception for tractor skid trails on slopes steeper than 50 percent which lead without flattening to a Class II watercourse (14 CAC 914.2[f]); Ira replied "no." This was an additional matter which further warranted CDF's decision to not accept this plan as completion is required prior to submission. To be thorough, CDF asked Ira to be sure when he re-submits the plan that the additional field work is complete as the rules dictate, usually prior to the pre-harvest inspection or commencement of operations. The Department suggested Ira review the rules regarding flagging of road locations, Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones and sample marking within these zones. Ira complained that he wasn't getting paid enough on this plan to do this additional work. Ira was reminded that he is professionally responsible for the accuracy of his plan contents, and following the rules in preparing THPs (14CAC896 and 1035). Work required of the RPF in preparing the THP is not "additional." Also, the THP preparer may have to meet on-site with CDF to discuss the conditions and standards to be included in the plan

(14CAC1034.2). Further, if the THP preparer is not responsible for the field work required after plan filing, the plan submitter should be so notified. Mr. Farmer may be under the erroneous impression that the plan takes care of everything, but then later find he has to pay another RPF to do the preparatory work. Feeling defensive, Ira asked if CDF was suggesting his work was incompetent. The Department reassured Ira they were not in the role of making an accusation, but that he must be aware of his responsibilities and is accountable for work under his license. The Department could file a complaint with Foresters Licensing. They explained that some additional grounds for disciplinary action could include material misstatement of fact, misrepresentation, or gross negligence. Ira said he wanted to know what CDF meant by those terms. The Department stated that the Penal Code and case law govern these, but would attempt some possible examples. Misrepresentation could be accused if the RPF mislead the submitter to believe all RPF services required under the Forest Practice Act and the rules and regulations of the Board were included in Ira's fixed percentage fee, and then not provided. The plan submitter could also handle this as a civil matter. If the RPF knowingly mislead Mr. Farmer and he relied upon it, deceit could be accused. Material misstatement of fact would be involved if the plan was approved on the basis of statements about field conditions that were later found erroneous. Gross negligence is an extreme departure from prudent conduct. In any of these, a specific situation would be evaluated by investigation and from expert witnesses establishing the acceptable range of prudent professional conduct. The RPF's actions are then compared to the prudent standards. When there is the required higher level of "clear and convincing" evidence, the RPF is sent an accusation. The RPF can agree with the facts of the accusation by stipulating an agreement with the Executive Officer of Foresters Licensing who may also recommend discipline. The other RPF alternative is to call for an Administrative Hearing to defend himself. After hearing the evidence, the Administrative Law Judge makes recommendations to the Board of Forestry. In either approach, the Board makes the final decision. The accused RPF does not address the Board directly, as all involved parties should be entitled to this same privilege. This is neither required, nor necessary, as the RPF has already been given "due process." Ira said he already knew that, but "thanks anyway."

The next phone call Ira received was from Mr. Tree Farmer, and it was not a friendly one. Mr. Farmer was upset about the delay that resulted in getting an approved THP. He was told he could have to wait the full ten day review period once the required flagging was done, the Notice of Intent was posted, and the plan was re-submitted. Mr. Farmer said CDF also stated additional RPF field work has to be completed prior to the pre-harvest inspection. Mr. Farmer told Ira he understood the percentage Ira agreed on to do the plan included all RPF work. Ira grimaced as he agreed to smooth out the problems.

Bewildered, Ira decided to see where he went wrong. In reviewing his plan, Ira found why he thought the plan was complete. In Item 63, he indicated "Responsible for THP preparation only." Because Ira noted he

was only responsible for THP preparation, the Department is required to contact the plan submitter regarding any questions. The mapping omissions and not completing the required flagging were an oversight on his part. In Item 64 he indicated that the plan submitter had been advised of his/her responsibilities for stocking, maintenance of erosion control structures, and marking requirements. However, the rules require the plan submitter comply with several other matters. These include notifying CDF with a list of adjacent owners and posting the Notice of Intent (Section 1032.7[g], CAC). An RPF may be hired to act as his agent. The agreement between Ira and Mr. Farmer were not clear on this, but Ira subsequently accepted this responsibility

Specific field work responsibilities which require an RPF, or supervised designee, cannot be fully transferred to the plan submitter unless included and approved under exceptions or use of general alternatives. The submitter could act as the supervised designee, but then Ira is fully responsible for the work.

Preventative Solution - Ira realized there were some considerations for notification of the plan submitter beyond what was on the THP form. A better statement by Ira would have been "I am responsible for preparation of the THP, for responding to questions and changes that may be needed in the plan through the pre-harvest inspection." When there is additional RPF field preparation shifted from one RPF to another, this point of transfer is important. If a violation were found regarding activities required of an RPF, the RPF of record would receive the notice. To cover himself, Ira could notify CDF when his responsibilities terminate on this THP. The next RPF should notify CDF of the new responsibilities assumed; this could also be done by the plan submitter.

If the scenario were different and Ira intended to do more of the field preparation, Ira could expand the statement to say, "responsible for THP field preparation required for approval." This could be taken one step further by saying "responsible for THP field preparation up to commencement of operations." The primary importance is to be specific and that he explains his RPF responsibilities to the client.

Ira also realized he could inform Mr. Farmer what occurs if an approved THP must be amended. Substantial deviations, which undergo the same review process as a THP, must be submitted by Mr. Farmer or his successor in interest. However, some rules would require amendments to be prepared by an RPF. Ira could also note the potential delay if Mr. Farmer does not comply with the noticing requirements, or operational changes are later desired. After reflecting on matters, Ira decided that discussing such information at the beginning of a project might help Mr. Farmer understand why a good plan involves the time and cost that it does. Ira decided he could avoid future conflicts by clarifying his standard contract. He saw where this information regarding the submitter's responsibilities could be put in his contract which he reads through with each client. He also realized he needs to come up with some means of providing sufficient pay to cover the required

preparatory field work, possible pre-harvest inspection, and possible subsequent amendments. This could be done with a clause for a higher percentage, or hourly pay, on matters that arise beyond his control in the review process.

WHAT'S NEW WITH THE PROFESSIONAL FORESTERS EXAMINING COMMITTEE?

MEETING - The next PFEC meeting is scheduled for March 9, 1988 in the Resources Building, room 1501, Director's Conference. Discussion will involve approaches in writing regulations to clarify what situations require an RPF license.

DISCIPLINARY ACTION

Case 64 Brian F. Anker, RPF 1849
 P.O. Box 719
 Fortuna, CA 95540

Violation: Deceit, misrepresentation, material misstatement of fact and gross negligence

Authority: Sections 758, 775, 778(b) and 4583.5, Public Resources Code.

Summary of Stipulation: Mr. Anker waived his right to an Administrative Hearing, and instead stipulated to the accusation and discipline recommended by the PFEC and Executive Officer.

The Board's findings note from the admitted accusation that Mr. Anker's THP indicated he was acting as the plan submitter's agent in regards to any concerns, and questions pertaining to the Director's finding of conformance, and that he may supervise the harvesting operations. Mr. Anker was aware of CalTrans concerns and stated in his THP that an encroachment permit from the County would be obtained before logging. After the THP was filed, adjacent owners disputed the submitter's ownership of the plan area. To mitigate concerns, Mr. Anker amended the plan stating the plan submitter would have a licensed survey conducted prior to commencement of operations. The plan was approved on these representations.

Mr. Anker proceeded with the operations without a licensed survey or encroachment permit. His actions resulted in a timber trespass court award to an adjacent owner. The RPF stated in court that he did not obtain an encroachment permit because he did not want the adjacent owners to find out the operation was proceeding. In addition, the RPF misrepresented to a CalTrans field office employee that he had the necessary permit to pick up highway warning devices for off-loading logging equipment. He committed gross negligence by proceeding in violation of his THP, and his actions included misrepresentation, material misstatement of fact, and deceit.

There were matters of extenuation and mitigation, and aggravation as follows:

1. This was Mr. Anker's first violation of the Professional Foresters Law.
2. Although his actions are not condoned by the Board, Mr. Anker maintains he was trying to protect the plan submitter's right to harvest from an adjacent owner who had no apparent claim to the timber. Mr. Anker had previous successful dealings with the plan submitter and had no initial reason to disbelieve him. Subsequent statements at the timber trespass trial showed the plan submitter made misrepresentations to Mr. Anker on the ownership matter and documents submitted to the RPF.
3. Eel River Sawmills, Inc., whom Mr. Anker was representing, paid a sizable deposit to the plan submitter on the standing timber he represented as owning. After the plan submitter subsequently refused to obtain and pay for a licensed survey, efforts to get the deposit back failed.

Discipline: The Board suspended the RPF license for a period of one year. Matters in extenuation, mitigation, and aggravation were considered by the Board (Section 1612.1, 14CAC), and 90 days of actual suspension was imposed with the balance stayed on the condition of probation. During probation, the RPF must obey all laws and regulations related to the practice of forestry, or the full term of suspension may be invoked after providing the RPF with the opportunity to be heard. He was granted authority under Section 777, PRC, to complete any necessary amendments on two filed THPs but was not allow any further RPF responsibility on these plans; four of the nine Board members voted against this leniency. Due public notice of the disciplinary action was provided, and the company was notified as required (14CAC1612.2).

Suggested Preventative Measure: A civil suit could have been filed against the timberland owner to force compliance with the verbal agreement to have a licensed survey completed, or collection action to recover the deposit.

Several other cases are in different stages of investigation or action. The appropriate information will be reported at the conclusion of each.

WHAT'S NEW WITH THE BOARD OF FORESTRY

BOARD REPORTS

MAA With SWRQCB - The Board continued discussion on a Management Agency Agreement with the State Water Resources Quality Control Board which, if adopted by both Boards, will provide "208 Certification." This would result in the Z'berg-Nejedly Forest Practice Act; Board's rules,

regulations and adoption process; and CDF administrative practices meet Best Management Practices mandated in the Federal Clean Water Act, Section 208, et al. The tentative agreement calls for continued review and possible refinement of rules and processes.

California Forest Improvement Program - The Board concurred with the Director's decision to increase some cost sharing rates for the California Forest Improvement Program. The rates for site preparation, planting, and follow-up will be increased from 65-75% to 80-90% for lands damaged by the fires.

Fire Siege Report - The US Forest Service and CDF presented a review of fire activity during late August and September. They noted over 100 miles of key fisheries streams suffered direct fire damage as well as 90,000 acres of plantations in the 20, 30, and 40-year old age class. It stated four policy areas in rehabilitation which may affect the Board as efforts progress. 1) timing and treatment of salvage on mixed ownerships, 2) availability of seedlings for reforestation, 3) conduct of salvage operations in previous roadless areas, and 4) federal sales of salvage timber in-lieu of green timber. CDF and US Forest Service are cooperating on salvage and rehabilitation efforts.

COTP - The Board heard a presentation by staff of the California-Oregon Transmission Line on the economics of the long-term impacts on timberland. The Board has asked COTP to address specific concerns in the project EIR.

Recognition for Joe Russ - The Board congratulated Mr. Joseph Russ, IV for his recognition as Cattleman of the Year by the State Chamber of Commerce.

BOARD MEMBER COMMITTEES

Resource Protection Committee - The Committee discussed change in funding for contract counties.

Legislation and Policy Development Committee - The Committee commented that project "Phoenix" has been developed and the goal of the program is to provide for assistance in rehabilitation of damaged lands due to the 1987 fire siege.

Forest Practice Committee - The Committee began development of regulatory language regarding Assemblyman Sher's bill AB 1629. Language for changes to the forest practice rules on fire protection will be prepared and sent to the DTACs for review.

APPOINTED BOARD COMMITTEES

Coastal DTAC - The Committee discussed the 208 Management Agreement

and the proposed rules regarding minimum impact, the THP exemption, and the Forest District stocking standards. It supports the DTAC recommendations for the MAA.

Northern DTAC - Mr. Gil Murray, Chairman commented that the fire damage in the Lake Almanor area had been limited. He suggested that requirements to remove culverts may limit the ability to fight fire in critical periods. The Committee considered proposed rules on the definition of minimal impact, the THP exemption, the Forest District stocking standards and the 208 issue.

Southern DTAC - The Committee adopted a shortened MAA version with the addition of selected amendments from the draft.

California Forest Pest Council - Various committees reported.

1. Disease Committee - The committee noted no significant increases of forest disease effects in 1987. Seedling mortality of lodgepole pine due to western gall rust were increasingly apparent in north central and northeastern California. Surveys for ozone injury to pines in the Lake Tahoe Basin revealed more injury than previously reported. Symptoms were also found in areas not previously known to be affected by ozone.

2. Southern California Pest Committee - The Southern California Timber Management Plan on National Forest Land was presented. The overall goal of timber management in Southern California is to maintain a healthy and vigorous forest. Commodity production is not a major goal, no definite rotations or maximum product sizes are determined, and maximum growth rates are not obtained. Treatments methods are predicted on maintaining or restoring characteristics of natural stands, including mixed-sized stands, presence of old growth, and an undisturbed presence, which are based on 3 concepts:

- a. Forests can be protected from insect and disease losses by creating favorable growing conditions.
- b. Destructive effects of fire are reduced through manipulation of stand structures, especially in younger size classes.
- c. Stand management will help assure a continuous supply of trees.

3. Insect Committee - The level of defoliation from the Modoc budworm declined from that of the past four years. An outbreak of Douglas-fir tussock moth on white fir occurred at several discrete sites within an area of 5,000 to 10,000 acres of Plumas County. Gypsy moth trap catches in California remained low.

Resolutions - Three resolutions were adopted.

1. Pitch Canker outbreak poses a serious threat to California's Christmas tree industry, nurseries, to landscape and highway plantings, unique native stands, and potentially to the timber industry, and a Pine Pitch Canker Interagency Working Group, comprised of representatives of several county, state, and federal agencies, was formed in early 1987 to coordinate surveys, research, and public awareness efforts. It supports the CDF's request for \$125,000 per year for three to five years to undertake needed research on the epidemiology and control of the disease in California.
2. The Council supports the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection in its efforts to amend transportation laws under Penal Code Section 384.5 regarding transport of fuelwood to help control the Eucalyptus Longhorn Borer.
3. The Council supports the continued use of Integrated Pest Management concepts by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection. These concepts include the components of: education, vegetation ordinance development, building standards, forest management, and direct control measures.

BOARD TOUR

October Field Trip - The Board toured the Paper fire complex in the Stanislaus National Forest. Over 100,000 acres have been burned in th worst fire season ever witnessed. The trip highlighted the cooperation between all fire fighting agencies during the siege of fires. Although the general feeling that the suppression efforts were well coordinated, a few areas of concern for improvement were: 1) effective use of logging industry, 2) modifications on dispatching procedure, 3) improvement of gathering fire intelligence, 4) improvement of infra-red imagery, 5) compatibility of CDF and USFS computers, 6) automation of incident bases, 7) computerization of status keeping, 8) pre-establishment of major staging areas, and 9) modification in crew relief policies.

OTHER NOTES OF INTEREST

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SEARCH FEE INCREASE

The information centers of the California Archaeological Inventory have raised their record search fees. The fee charged to RPFs for reviewing a Timber Harvesting Plan has gone up from \$15.00 to \$30.00. This increase takes place February 1, 1988. The record search request form used by RPFs to obtain the needed archaeological information has also been revised. RPFs should now begin using the new request form. Available at CDF Regional Offices. The Information Centers indicated to CDF that the fee increase was needed to pay for the costs involved with record

searches. These costs are not reimbursed by the State. The \$30.00 fee for THP reviews is still only one-half the standard record search fee of \$60.00 which is charged for all other projects.

PUBLICATIONS AVAILABLE

Recommended by an RPF:

1. Vegetation Classification System for California: User's Guide; General Technical Report PSW-94
2. Using Indicator Plant to Assess Susceptibility of California Red Fir and White Fir to the Fir Engraver Beetle; Research Note PSW-388.
3. Gouty Pitch Midge Damage to Ponderosa Pines Planted on Fertile and Infertile Soils in the Western Sierra-Nevada; Research Note PSW-390

Available from: USDA, Forest Service
 Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Exper. Sta.
 P.O. Box 245
 Berkeley, CA 97401

Other publications:

1. Oaks 'n Folks: The newsletter of the Integrated Hardwood Range Management Program, University of California Cooperative Extension, Natural Resources Program, Hopland Field Station, 4070 University Road, Hopland, CA 95449.
2. Forest Management Information and Services: A Guide for California Forest Landowners: \$1.25 each. ANR Publications, University of California, 6701 San Pablo Avenue, Oakland, CA 94608-1239, (415) 642-2431.
3. Living Among The Oaks: A Management Guide for Landowners. University of California Cooperative Extension, Natural Resources Program, 163 Mulford Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720, (415) 642-2360.
4. Woodland Management: A non-industrial California forest landowner home study course. \$25.00 made payable to Regents of the University of California. Department of Forestry and Resource Management, 145 Mulford Hall, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720.

CONTINUING FORESTRY EDUCATION CERTIFICATIONS BY SAF

Congratulations for the formal recognition of your training achievement:

Bruce J. Bayless RPF 1652

CONDOLENCES

For those of you who have not heard, some of our fellow RPF's have passed away since the last issue of "Licensing News." Our sympathy to the families and friends of each.

Lee Burcham	RPF	7	October, 1987
Elmer R. Pratt		718	December, 1987
Robert E. Rappleye		651	December, 1987

Note: Lee contributed significantly to the licensing effort as one of the exam graders from 1974 to 1986.

CALIFORNIA COURSES

RPF Exam Preparation	February 18 & 19	CLFA
Forest Engineering Watershed Management	February 15-17	NRI
Forest Engineering Road Design	February 17 -19	NRI
Graphic Info. Systems (computer mapping)	February	NRI
Statistics	February	NRI
Forest Engineering Institute	February	NRI
Hydrology & Geology Redding, CA	March 3	CLFA
Annual CLFA Conference Redding, Ca	March 4-5	CLFA
Systems Applications Geographic Information	March 16-18	NRI
Planting and Caring for Urban Trees, Reno, NV	March 25-26	ISA
Forest Taxation in Calif.	March 29-30	CFE
True Fir Mgmt. Symposium	March	NRI
Hatchery Mgmt. - Computers	March	NRI

Forest Engineering Institute	March	NRI
Wildlife Immobilization	April/May	NRI
Monitoring Spotted Owls	April/May	NRI
Direct Observation Techniques	April/May	NRI
California Riparian Systems Conference	September 22-24	UCD

Further information on CLFA: California Licensed Foresters Association,
P.O. Box 1516, Pioneer, CA 95666 (209) 293-7323

Further information on CDF: California Department of Forestry and Fire
Protection, 1416 Ninth St., P.O. Box 944246, Sacramento, CA 94244-2460
(209) 274-2426

For further information on ISA: Western Chapter of International
Society of Arboriculture (707) 963-7578.

Further information on NRI: Natural Resource Institute, Humboldt State
University, Arcata, CA 95521, Attn. Linda Martin (707) 826-3561

Further information on Cooperative Forestry Extension:
3179 Bechelli Lane, Suite 206, Redding, CA 96001; (916) 225-5509

Further information on UCD: Dana L. Abell, Riparian Conference,
Coordinator, University Extension, University of California, Davis,
CA 95616; (916) 678-3564

OREGON COURSES

Silviculture Institute: Economics and Problem Solving	March 7-18	OSU
Plywood Manufacturing	March 14-18	OSU
FEI Update	March 21-25	OSU
FEI Update	March 28-Apr 1	OSU
Regeneration Planning & Cost Control	March 29-31	OSU
Variable Probability Sampling: Variable Plot and 3-P	April 4-8	OSU

Sawing Technology

OSU

May

Silviculture Institute:
Regeneration and Stand
Management

OSU

May 9-20

North American Tannin Conference

OSU

August 9-11

IUFRO: Old Growth Working Group

OSU

August

Geographic Information Analysis

OSU

September

Managing People in Parks and
Forests

OSU

October

National Conference on
Community Stability in
Forest-Based Economies

OSU

November 16-18

Techniques of Valuing Environ-
mental Resources: Recreation,
Wildlife, Fisheries, Water

OSU

December

Mountain Logging and Pacific
Northwest Skyline Symposium

OSU

December 12-16

Further information on OSU: Conference Assistant, College of Forestry,
Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, 97331, (503) 754-2004.

SUCCESSFUL EXAMINEES - WELCOME TO THE PROFESSION!

October, 1987 Exam - Success Level = 38%

Thomas J. Harrington

RPF 2348

William F. Delaney

RPF 2349

Steven V. Gasaway

2350

Cynthia A. Whelan

2351

John D. Exline

2352

Mitchell A. Hunt

2353

ASSOCIATION AND SOCIETY NOTES

To encourage professional involvement, applications are included in this
Licensing News for the California Licensed Foresters Association and the
Society of American Foresters. Be involved. Sign up today.



P.O. Box 1516, Pioneer, California 95666 (209) 293-7323

CALIFORNIA LICENSED FORESTERS ASSOCIATION

CLFA was formed by Registered Professional Foresters in 1980. The Association is run by an elected Board of Directors made up of fifteen members, five each from the Coast, Northern, and Southern Forest Practice Districts.

Since its inception, CLFA has become a well respected, influential organization within the State of California. The strength of CLFA comes from its broad base of RPF membership.

Industrial, State, Consulting, and Federal foresters all work together for the common cause of enhancing and protecting the role of the Professional Forester in California.

CLFA is committed to providing timely dissemination of information important to all RPF's, and to taking prompt and aggressive action on legislative and administrative issues whenever the need is demonstrated.

WHY CLFA WAS FORMED

The purposes, as outlined in the Association's By-Laws, are:

- To promote and advance the common professional interests of those individuals licensed as foresters by the State of California.
- To consider and deal by all lawful means with common problems relating to practice, management, employment, and financial functions of forestry; to foster cooperative action in advancing the common purposes of its members, and to promote activities designed to enable the profession to be conducted with the greatest economy, efficiency, and the highest professional standards.
- To afford due consideration to, and a forum for, expression of opinion upon questions affecting the licensed profession.
- To cooperate with other industries, organizations and government at all levels to develop economically and environmentally sound forest management.
- To conduct or engage in all lawful activities in furtherance of the foregoing purposes, or incidental thereto.

BENEFITS OF CLFA MEMBERSHIP

- Monthly UPDATE newsletter keeps members informed on current issues of importance to Professional Foresters in California.
 - Regional breakfast meetings provide regular opportunities for members to share information and give input to CLFA Directors.
 - The Annual Conference provides a full program of speakers on issues of particular interest to RPF's, and the opportunity to meet and socialize with foresters, legislators, and government officials from around the state.
 - Educational seminars on topics such as: THP Preparation, Ethics, Preparation for RPF Exam, and Herbicides.
 - Legislative Counsel and Governmental Affairs office in Sacramento to actively represent CLFA to the Legislature and Administration.
 - Sponsorship of legislation as the need arises and conducting forestry tours for legislators and government officials.
 - Representation of RPF's before the Board of Forestry, Foresters Examining Committee and other organizations at state, local and federal levels.
 - Member plaque suitable for hanging in your office.
-

CLFA MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____

Zip _____

Telephone-Business _____

Home _____

New Application Re-Application

Dues check must accompany application.

Membership year is January - December

Please make check payable to CLFA and mail to:

California Licensed Foresters Association
P.O. Box 1516
Pioneer, CA 95666

Membership Category:

LICENSED FORESTER RPF No. _____

Voting Member Dues - \$75.00

Contributing Member Dues - \$150.00

Sustaining Member Dues - \$300.00

NOT LICENSED

Associate Member Dues - \$35.00

Associate Member must be sponsored by a
Licensed Forester Member:

Sponsor: _____

DISTRICT (Please specify one)

Coast Forest District (Region I)

Northern Forest District (Region II)

Southern Forest District (Region III & IV)

Invite your friends to join your professional society



Give them this application!



Please Print (See instructions on back)

<p>1 Name/Address:</p> <p>First Name _____ Middle Name _____ Last Name _____</p> <p>Preferred Mailing Address: _____</p> <p>Street _____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>City _____ State _____ Zip _____</p> <p>Home Phone (____) _____ Office Phone (____) _____</p>	<p>2 I am applying for: (see requirements on back)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Member <input type="checkbox"/> Student Member</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Associate Member <input type="checkbox"/> Corresponding Member</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Reinstatement <input type="checkbox"/> Affiliate Member</p> <p>(If you were ever a member, please mark this box and complete block 3.)</p>
---	---

<p>3 Former Members:</p> <p>Please indicate the year in which you were last a member and your former SAF ID number, if known.</p> <p>_____ (year) _____ (former SAF ID number) _____ (see your old <i>Journal</i> label)</p>	<p>4 Citizenship:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> U.S. <input type="checkbox"/> Canada <input type="checkbox"/> Mexico <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p> <p>If "Other", are you a permanent resident of the U.S., Canada, or Mexico?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
---	--

5 College Education:

Name of Institution Attended	Location	Major/Curriculum	Degree	Date Degree Received or Expected

6 Forestry Employment: (Starting with most recent—attach additional sheets if necessary)

Employer	City/State	Title of Position	Dates	
			From	To

7 Statistical Data: (This information is requested for statistical purposes.)

Sex: Male Female Birthdate: _____ (mo./day/yr.) Ethnic/Racial Background: White Black Hispanic American Indian Asian Other _____

<p>Current Employer/Status:</p> <p>A <input type="checkbox"/> College or University</p> <p>B <input type="checkbox"/> Federal Government</p> <p>C <input type="checkbox"/> State/Local Government</p> <p>D <input type="checkbox"/> Private Industry</p>	<p>E <input type="checkbox"/> Forestry Consultant</p> <p>F <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Self-Employed</p> <p>G <input type="checkbox"/> Assn. or Foundation</p> <p>H <input type="checkbox"/> Retired</p>	<p>I <input type="checkbox"/> Student</p> <p>J <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Empl.</p> <p>K <input type="checkbox"/> Unemployed</p>
<p>Current Position:</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Upper-Level Mgr./Admin. 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Researcher/Educator</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> Mid-Level Mgr./Admin.</p> <p>3 <input type="checkbox"/> Staff Specialist 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Field Forester</p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> Field Technician</p>		

<p>8 SIGNATURE</p> <p><i>This information is, to the best of my knowledge, accurate and complete. If the Council acts favorably on this application, I agree to abide by the Constitution and Bylaws and the Code of Ethics of the Society of American Foresters.</i></p> <p>_____ Signature of Applicant</p> <p>_____ Date</p>	<p>9 Endorsement/Sponsor:</p> <p>(SAF voting member endorsement required)</p> <p><i>The information in this application is, to the best of my knowledge, accurate and complete and the applicant is qualified for the category being applied for.</i></p> <p>_____ Signature</p> <p>_____ Printed Name</p> <p>SAF ID # (needed if you wish to be recognized as a sponsor) _____</p>
--	--

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Read the requirements and other information for membership below.
2. Complete items 1 through 8 on the front of the application — don't forget to obtain the necessary endorsement — item 9.
3. Mail your application with a check or charge card information for the appropriate amount (see dues and admission fee schedule below) to:

Director, Member Services and Development
Society of American Foresters
5400 Grosvenor Lane
Bethesda, Maryland 20814
(301) 897-8720



4. If you need assistance in completing the application or have other inquiries about SAF membership, please contact the above office.

MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS:

MEMBER:

To be a Member, you must:

- (a) hold a bachelor's or higher degree in forestry from an SAF-accredited or candidate institution, OR
- (b) hold a bachelor's or higher degree in a field closely related to forestry and have at least three (3) years of substantial forestry related experience.

ASSOCIATE MEMBER:

To be an Associate Member, you must:

- (a) hold a bachelor's or higher degree in a field closely allied to forestry but have less than three years of substantial forestry related experience.

Citizens of Canada and Mexico who are not eligible to apply for Member may qualify for Associate Member. You may be advanced from Associate Member to Member upon application showing at least three years of substantial forestry related experience.

AFFILIATE MEMBER:

To be an Affiliate Member, you must:

- (a) be a graduate of an SAF-recognized forest technician program, OR
- (b) have at least three years of qualifying forestry experience, OR
- (c) have a minimum of two years of college-level training and at least one year of qualifying forestry experience.

STUDENT MEMBER:

To be a Student Member, you must:

be a regularly enrolled undergraduate or graduate student in forestry, preforestry, forest technology, or a field closely related to forestry. This includes forestry and pre-forestry students enrolled in nonaccredited, noncandidate, and non-recognized post-secondary educational programs.

CORRESPONDING MEMBER:

To be a Corresponding Member, you must:

be a forester who is not a citizen or permanent resident of the U.S., its possessions, Canada, or Mexico. (Citizens of Canada and Mexico are eligible for the Associate or Member category.)

REINSTATEMENT PROCEDURE

If you were ever a member of SAF, you are eligible to rejoin at the category of membership previously held, provided you still meet the requirements. To do so, check "Reinstatement" in block 2 and fill out block 3 on the reverse side of this application as accurately as possible. You do not need the endorsement of a voting member and there is no penalty or admission fee to reinstate. Your membership dues will be based on the length of your past membership in the Society and will be pro-rated for the amount remaining in the current year.

DUES AND FEES:

If your application is approved, your election to membership will not be effective until your payment of dues is received. Dues will be pro-rated for the amount remaining in the current year. Any overpayment accompanying your application will be credited to your account or refunded if requested. You will be billed for any shortage not accompanying your application. Dues for Student Member must accompany the application at the rate of \$16 per year, or \$4 per quarter for each remaining quarter in the calendar year. Payment in the last quarter should include payment for the following year.

Admission Fee

Members, Associate Members, and Affiliate Members, \$5; not required of Student Members, Corresponding Members or persons reinstating or changing categories.

National Dues - 1988

National dues for new Members and Associate Members are \$30. (Please attach your check or money order, including the \$5 Admission Fee, so that we may expedite the processing of your application.) The Society uses a sliding dues schedule, so your annual dues will increase with length of membership. You will receive an annual renewal notice in the fall of each year. (If you are a former member, please refer to the clause above regarding "Reinstatement Procedures.") National dues for new Affiliate Members are \$25, Student Members are \$16, and Corresponding Members are \$35.

State Society, State Division or Chapter Dues:

Vary from \$2 to \$11. Not required of Student Members and Corresponding Members.

CHARGE INFORMATION:

MasterCard _____ VISA _____
Card Number _____
Exp. Date _____ Amount _____
Authorized Signature _____

This space is for use by the Society office.

EXP _____ ChP _____ ChPS _____
CURS _____ UNIV _____
MGYR _____ / _____ BGYR _____
SS _____ SSS _____ ADVS _____
DIV _____ DIVS _____ ADJS _____
DATE _____
JOF 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

Election: _____
Application approved (date, initials): _____
Date elected: _____ Accepted election: _____
Eligible for advancement: _____
Remarks: _____
Date Sent: _____ Initials: _____

State Board of Forestry
PROFESSIONAL FORESTERS REGISTRATION
P.O. Box 944246
Sacramento, CA 94244-2460

BULK RATE
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
SACRAMENTO, CA
Permit No. 1588

Daniel Sendek RPF 2285
862 Alice Ave.
Ukiah, CA 95482