Caspar Creek Experimental Watersheds

Experiment Three Study Plan:

The influence of forest stand density reduction on watershed processes in the South Fork

Salli F. Dymond[1]

February 5, 2016

[1] Postdoctoral Research Hydrologist, USDA Forest Service Pacific Southwest Research Station
1731 Research Park Drive Davis, CA 95618 sdymond@fs.fed.us

Introduction

Since their establishment in 1962, the Caspar Creek Experimental Watersheds have provided foresters, land managers, researchers, and citizens with information that has influenced forest management in northwestern California. The primary goal in establishing Caspar Creek was to understand how harvesting timber could affect streamflow and suspended sediment concentrations (SSC). The first experiment (1962-1985) was a classic paired-watershed study undertaken before the implementation of the modern California Forest Practice Rules (FPRs): roads were constructed in the South Fork and 60-70% of the stand volume was selectively cut and tractor yarded, while the North Fork served as a control (Rice et al., 1979). The second experiment (1985-present) was designed to address cumulative watershed effects using the modern FPRs by investigating how clearcutting sub-watersheds in the North Fork influenced downstream streamflow and SSC (Ziemer, 1998; Lewis et al., 2001). Streamflow and SSC measurements began in 2000 at a network of sub-watersheds in the South Fork in anticipation of a third experiment that would investigate the impact of harvesting under updated California FPRs.

The U.S. Forest Service established numerous paired watershed experiments across the United States in the mid-1900s with the goal of understanding how forest practices affect streamflow and sediment production. These paired watershed studies, located in a wide range of ecosystems, found similar water yield results regardless of topography or vegetation type: removing >20% of basal area from a stand resulted in increased streamflow (Hibbert, 1967; Stednick, 1996). The effects of vegetation removal were found to decrease as regrowth occurred. Increases in water yield were caused by decreases in evapotranspiration and interception loss that occur when removing vegetation from a watershed.

In contrast to water yield results, there is still not agreement on the magnitude, persistence, and mechanisms responsible for peak flow changes, despite decades of data from paired watershed studies (Grant et al., 2003). Similarly, while there is general consensus that sediment generation has decreased in experimental watersheds with improved forest practices, the degree that remaining effects from timber operations should be reduced continues to be debated (Loehle et al., 2014). While paired watershed studies have answered key questions on cause and effect, the question of equifinality (the idea that a given end state can be achieved by many potential means) still remains. The third experiment will broaden our process-based understanding of forest hydrology by investigating how contemporary forest management, including harvests, affect water movement and storage, peak flows, and sediment production in the South Fork Caspar Creek.

Third Experiment Objectives

Results from the first two Caspar Creek studies have contributed to the body of knowledge for a wide range of watershed topics, including cumulative effects, changes in peak flows with timber harvest, logging-related sediment production, appropriate buffer strip design, logging-related impacts on anadromous fish and benthic macro-invertebrate communities, management of headwater channels, impacts of timber harvesting on subsurface flow, nutrient cycling impacts associated with clearcutting, changes in fog drip and interception loss with harvest, and design of water quality monitoring programs (Cafferata and Reid, 2013). The third experiment at Caspar Creek is designed to expand upon the findings of the first two experiments by investigating hydrological, geomorphic, and ecological processes in coast redwood forests at the tree, plot, hillslope, sub-basin, and catchment scales. This study will look at the effect of stand density reduction (i.e., reducing the quantity of trees) on watershed processes and characteristics on sites that have been historically managed for timber. The overarching objective of the third experiment is to ***quantify the influence of forest stand density reduction on watershed processes while utilizing the current California Forest Practice Rules***. Specific goals of the study are to:

1. Determine the consequences of superimposing current logging practices, including new road building, on previously tractor logged watershed in the South Fork by quantifying the effect of different levels of stand density reduction on daily, seasonal, and annual streamflow and sediment yields (Watershed Resilience and Recovery Study);
2. Investigate the role of stand density reduction in partitioning precipitation and fog inputs into evapotranspiration, soil moisture, groundwater, and stream discharge (Plant-Soil-Water Dynamics Study);
3. Improve our mechanistic understanding of how timber harvesting influences both the delivery of water from hillslopes to streams and residual tree water use (Water Worlds Study);

4. Determine the effects of contemporary forest practices on macroinvertebrate assemblages and stream nutrients in the third experiment (Bioassessment Study);
5. Calibrate the Distributed-Hydrology-Soil-Vegetation Model (DHSVM) for the Caspar Creek experimental watersheds in order to simulate the effects of different silvicultural and road building practices on streamflow and sediments (DHSVM Study);
6. Identify the sources of stream channel sediments and determine if the level of stand density reduction influences sediment sources in the channel (Sediment Fingerprinting Study);
7. Apply novel descriptors of hydrological (fine suspended sediments and streamflow) events to suspended data collected from the second and third experiments (Fine Sediments Study);
8. Determine the erosional consequences of legacy road rehabilitation (Road Rehabilitation Study).

Site Description

The Caspar Creek Experimental Watersheds (39°21′N, 123°44′W) are located on the Jackson Demonstration State Forest (JDSF) in northwestern California (Figure 1), approximately 7 km from the Pacific Ocean (Henry, 1998). Established as a joint study with the U.S. Forest Service and the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE) in 1961, research has focused on water and sediment production in a watershed located in the northern part of the California Coast Ranges. The Caspar Creek watershed has a drainage area of 2167 ha, with the study area encompassing 473 ha and 424 ha of the North and South Forks, respectively.



Figure 1. The Caspar Creek Experimental Watersheds are located on the north coast of California. Numbers indicate the year in which timber harvest began. From Cafferata and Reid (2013).

The climate at Caspar Creek is Mediterranean, with cool, dry summers characterized by coastal fog and mild, moist winters. Mean annual precipitation from 1990 – 1995 was 1190 mm, with 90% of the rainfall occurring from October to April. Snowfall is rare in the Caspar Creek watershed. The mean annual temperature from 1990 – 1995 was 4.7°C in December and 15.6°C in July. The landscape is dominated by coast redwood (*Sequoia sempervirens* (D. Don) Endl.), Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco), grand fir (*Abies grandis* (Doug. ex D. Don) Lindl.), and western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla* (Raf.) Sarg.), with smaller amounts of tanoak (*Lithocarpus densiflorus* (Fook. and Arn.) Rohn) and red alder (*Alnus rubus* Bong.). Elevations in the region range from 37 to 320 m and slopes can reach an excess of 50%. Soils in the basin are predominately well-drained clay-loam ultisols and alfisols (Henry, 1998).

Experimental Design

The ultimate goal of this experiment is to better understand how current forest management practices affect watersheds in the coast redwood region. To meet this objective, stand density was incrementally reduced in each of the gauged sub-watersheds. The broad range of stand density reductions used in experiment three will result in both multi- and even-aged forest stands. With this framework in mind, the third experiment was designed using a range of harvesting intensities to discern potential thresholds in which vegetation removal begins to affect watershed processes. This study will result in a mechanistic understanding of the connection between canopy reduction and watershed processes that can be used to develop sound management practices in similar Coast Range watersheds in the future.

Study Watersheds

Second growth trees in the South Fork of Caspar Creek were harvested from 1971-1973 as part of the original Caspar Creek paired watershed study (Table 1). Results and findings from the first experiment are summarized by Rice et al. (1979) and Ziemer (1998). In 2000, all of the sub-watersheds within the South Fork were instrumented in preparation for a third experiment; a total of eleven gaging stations were installed to monitor streamflow and sediment (Table 1, Figure 2). Landscape features of the sub-watersheds in the South Fork of Caspar Creek are displayed in Table 2.

Table 1. Experimental sub-watersheds located in the South Fork of Caspar Creek as well as harvesting information from the first Caspar Creek experiment.

Watershed Name	Watershed ID	Area (ha)	Streamflow Record Begins	Year of Harvest	% Volume Logged
South Fork	SFC	424	11/1962	1971-1973	65%
Ogilvie	OGI	18	10/2000	1971	60%
Porter	POR	32	10/2000	1971	60%
Quetelet	QUE	394	10/2000	1971-1973	65%
Richards	RIC	49	10/2000	1972	70%
Sequoyah	SEQ	17	10/2000	1972	70%
Treat	TRE	14	10/2000	1972	70%
Uqlidisi	UQL	13	10/2000	1973	65%
Williams	WIL	26	10/2000	1973	65%
Yocom	YOC	53	10/2000	1973	65%
Ziemer	ZIE	25	10/2000	1973	65%



Figure 2. Gaging stations in the South Fork of Caspar Creek. Stations are identified by initial. Adapted from Cafferata and Reid (2013).

Table 2. Landscape features of the sub-watersheds in the South Fork of Caspar Creek.

WS Name	WS ID	Area (ha)	Elevation Range (m)	Average Slope (%)	Dominant Soil Subgroup(s)
South Fork Caspar	SFC	424	46 - 329	59.6	Ultic hapludalf
Ogilvie	OGI	18	58 - 174	26.3	Mollic/Ultic hapludalf
Porter	POR	32	61 - 186	34.2	Ultic hapludalf
Quetelet	QUE	394	48 - 329	49.8	Mollic/Ultic hapludalf
Richards	RIC	49	73 - 198	41.6	Mollic/Ultic hapludalf
Sequoyah	SEQ	17	79 - 207	37.9	Ultic hapludalf
Treat	TRE	14	98 - 244	46.5	Mollic/Ultic hapludalf
Uqlidisi	UQL	13	122 - 323	48.5	Typic haplohumult
Williams	WIL	26	146 - 323	50.5	Typic haplohumult
Yocom	YOC	53	146 - 329	47.5	Typic haplohumult
Ziemer	ZIE	25	213 - 329	43.0	Typic haplohumult

Stand Density Reduction

Light is a fundamental driver of various ecosystem processes, from photosynthesis to evaporation to decomposition. Light plays a large role in the regeneration of coast redwood stands, with increased self-thinning of stump sprouts and decreased leaf-area-index (LAI) occurring in stands with poor light regimes (O'Hara and Berrill, 2010). Forest managers routinely alter the light environment in forests by removing trees via timber harvest, which promotes regeneration of desirable species (Oliver and Larson, 1990). Changes in forest stand density are characterized based on the attributes of interest. For instance, the economic value of a stand is often quantified in terms of merchantable stem volume, or board feet. Forest managers routinely use basal area (the surface area of stems at a height of 4.5 feet above ground per unit area) to quantify a stand's density because of its ease of measurement. Leaf area index (e.g., the ratio of total leaf area to ground area covered), on the other hand, has the potential to better explain ecological processes because the amount of leaf area in a stand reflects the availability of sunlight to drive photosynthesis and transpiration.

Despite the connection between leaf area and ecosystem processes, forest managers routinely develop silvicultural prescriptions based upon basal area. This is in large part due to the complexity associated with measuring or determining leaf area. Current leaf area calculations for coast redwood include sapwood area measurements, which must be collected via destructive sampling or increment cores. Dr. Kevin O'Hara, UC Berkeley, is working on developing an equation for calculating coast redwood leaf area from easily obtained measurements such as dbh and tree height. To form a more physical basis for this experiment, treatments will be established on the basis of overstory density reduction (i.e., reduction in watershed leaf area), which can then be related to basal area. This will also allow us to explore the ecological (i.e., leaf area) as well as practical (i.e., basal area) effects of stand density reduction on watershed processes.

Harvest Plan

In order to achieve the desired experimental stand density reductions, the type of harvest applied to each sub-watershed will vary. Stand density reduction rates of less than 35% will be similar to selection harvests used for uneven-aged management, per the FPR. The higher density reductions will result in stands with fewer residual trees and more regeneration by utilizing the FPR variable retention method. All logging operations will be in accordance with the current California Forest Practice Rules and the specific prescriptions to implement the timber harvesting plan (THP) for the third experiment will be developed by CAL FIRE-JDSF foresters. The planned harvest practices will require some new road construction along ridgetops to accommodate cable-yarding equipment. In contrast to the first experiment, tractor-yarding systems will be limited to areas with gentler slopes. Road layout and design will be determined by CAL FIRE with input from PSW to ensure that road construction will not negatively impact scientific research. Road-decommissioning along the remainder of the mid-slope road spanning WIL through UQL will be performed in conjunction with harvest activities. No decommissioning will be done in the control sub-watersheds. Harvesting will begin in spring 2017 and is anticipated to finish by fall 2018. Harvest of the six gauged sub-watersheds will be scheduled in the second year in order to collect pre-harvest measurements for a longer period of time.

Assigning Watershed Treatments

Two no treatment sub-watersheds will be established as long-term reference watersheds for monitoring of streamflow and sediment. No additional road-building will occur in these reference watersheds (WIL and RIC, Table 3). Six additional sub-watersheds will be harvested using a range of percent leaf area removal. The highest harvest rate will remove 75% of the current leaf area from the stand, while the lowest harvest rate will remove 25% of the current stand leaf area. Four additional harvest removal rates were established within this gradient (Table 3). The treatment sub-watersheds were verified by a field reconnaissance crew (with members from CAL FIRE, PSW, UC Berkeley) in July 2015.The higher harvest endpoints were designed to push the systems beyond typical uneven-aged management, which will allow for identifying thresholds at which hydrologic and ecological function of the watersheds may be compromised. This variability in stand density reduction can serve as a resource for exploring economic and environmental consequences of such management regimes. The matrix area between the sub-watersheds will be harvested at a moderate intensity (rate TBD by CAL FIRE), producing downstream effects that will combine with the effects of the treatments in the sub-watersheds. Streamflow and sediment yield/turbidity levels associated with harvesting from both the matrix area and sub-watersheds will be documented at stations located on the main stem of South Fork Caspar Creek (Figure 2).

Table 3. Proposed harvest rates for the sub-watersheds in South Fork Caspar Creek. These treatments are based on basal area; additional calculations will be required to determine removal rates based on leaf area. Stocking information is currently being collected for the sub-watersheds listed as to be determined (TBD).

WS Name	WS ID	Area (ha)	Pre-treatment Basal Area (m^2 ha^{-1})	Reduction Rate (%)	FPR Method
South Fork Caspar	SFC	424	TBD	TBD	Selection
Ogilvie	OGI	18	65.5	25%	Selection
Porter	POR	32	61.3	45%	
Quetelet	QUE	394	TBD	TBD	Selection
Richards	RIC	49	TBD	0%	Control
Sequoyah	SEQ	17	87.3	65%	Variable Retention
Treat	TRE	14	85.3	35%	Selection
Uqlidisi	UQL	13	66.3	55%	
Williams	WIL	26	TBD	0%	Control
Yocom	YOC	53	156.6	0%	No Cut*
Ziemer	ZIE	25	74.5	75%	Variable Retention

*The Yocum watershed ecompasses Ziemer, but no additional harvesting will occur downstream of the ZIE gauge.

Statistical Analysis

Paired watershed studies are unique compared to other environmental investigations in that replicates are often not used due to budget or space limitations. In the case where replicates do exist, as in sub-watershed studies, the watersheds are inherently variable due to differences in geology, soils, cover types, etc. In addition to these constraints, the gauged sub-watersheds in the South Fork Caspar Creek are particularly heterogeneous due to legacy effects of past logging practices (Table 1). Because of the large variability between the gauged sub-watersheds in the South Fork, a regression-based experimental design was chosen for the third experiment.

In a regression-based design, the independent variable (i.e., percent reduction in leaf area) is a continuous variable. The type of regression (e.g., linear, non-linear, multiple, or logistic) will depend upon the response variables of individual research hypotheses. The range of treatments (0% to 75% reduction in canopy leaf area) should capture the full range of responses from the different response variables. The type of statistical analysis used will depend on the individual research questions developed for the study.

Research Projects

Currently there are eight research projects proposed as part of the third experiment. These studies are in various stages of development and funding, and they are briefly described below. Individual research plans will be developed for each study to describe the objectives, methods, anticipated findings, and personnel requirements of each in more detail (see Appendix A). All research plans associated with the third experiment must be approved by PSW and CAL FIRE before they can proceed.

Project 1: Watershed Resilience and Recovery Study

Project PI: Dr. Salli Dymond (PSW)

Project Collaborators: CAL FIRE, PSW

Funding Sources: CAL FIRE ($1.9M), PSW (TBD)

A myriad of studies have investigated the role of vegetation removal on streamflow and sediment yield (Hibbert, 1967; Stednick, 1996; Karwan et al. 2007; Keppeler and Lewis, 2007). However few studies have linked watershed processes to the intensity of stand density reduction, especially in previously logged stands. Determining the relationship between stand density reduction and streamflow and sediment yield across a range of intensities will allow managers to evaluate thresholds at which reducing stand density may begin to affect watershed processes. The goal of the watershed resilience and recovery study is to quantify the effect of different levels of canopy removal on streamflow and sediment yield in the South Fork Caspar Creek. Specifically, this project will address the following research questions:

1) How does stand density reduction influence annual streamflow (i.e., water yield), peak flows, low flows, turbidity levels, and sediment yield?
2) What are the response and recovery of streamflow and sediment yield following stand density reduction?
3) Is there a threshold at which stand density reduction begins to influence streamflow and sediment yield?

Streamflow and turbidity have been measured continuously at the South Fork tributary gauging stations since 2001. Streamflow and turbidity are recorded on 10-minute intervals using Montana flumes equipped with electronic data loggers, pressure transducers, and continuously recording turbidimeters. These data are not currently recorded during the summer months when flows are too low to be gauged accurately. Suspended sediment samples are collected at pre-determined turbidity thresholds using ISCO pumping samplers triggered with turbidity threshold sampling (TTS, Lewis and Eads, 2009). Water samples are measured for total suspended solids (TSS) using gravimetric methods. These data will continue to be collected by PSW throughout the length of the third experiment in order to track the response and recovery of each sub-watershed following stand density reduction and new road construction.

Project 2: Plant-Soil-Water Dynamics Study

Project PI: Dr. Salli Dymond (PSW)

Project Collaborators: PSW, CAL FIRE, Dr. Kevin Bladon (Oregon State University)

Funding Sources: PSW ($55K), additional TBD

Forest hydrologists understand the role that vegetation plays in mediating streamflow. Thus, harvesting a watershed will temporarily increase streamflow. We hypothesize that this response in streamflow is due to decreased evapotranspiration and interception loss, which produces an increase in subsurface flow (Reid and Lewis, 2009). However, relatively few studies have looked at how forest management influences hydrological processes in a watershed. The objective of this project is to broaden our understanding of the role of vegetation in partitioning precipitation and fog into evapotranspiration, soil moisture, groundwater, and stream discharge by comparing sites that have undergone different timber harvest intensities (e.g., low, moderate, high) to sites left intact. This research will address the following questions:

1) What are the annual, seasonal, and diurnal variations and patterns of precipitation, fog, evapotranspiration, soil moisture, groundwater, and stream discharge in coast redwood forests?
2) How do soil moisture, stream discharge, groundwater, and evapotranspiration respond to precipitation events in these systems?
3) How do different levels of timber harvest change the patterns, magnitudes, and variations of soil moisture, stream discharge, groundwater, and transpiration compared to pre-harvest conditions?

We will install transects of water measurements in four different sub-watersheds along a gradient of stand density reduction treatments (Table 4), which will allow us to assess the relationship between harvest intensity and different hydrologic components in the sub-watershed. Each sub-watershed will contain one transect and each transect will be on a similar-facing slope. Three transects were installed in 2015 with the anticipation of a fourth transect to be installed in early spring 2016 (due to funding constraints). Measurements will include inputs at the meteorological station, such as precipitation, temperature, and fog. At five points along each transect, evapotranspiration, soil moisture, groundwater, photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) will be measured. If budgets allow, fog drip, throughfall, and band dendrometers will also be included in the study. Equipment was installed by PSW researchers with additional aid provided by CAL FIRE staff. Monitoring of equipment will continue by PSW researchers for at least three years following the completion of harvesting.

Table 4. Subset of watersheds that will be included in the soil-plant-water dynamics study.

WS Name	WS ID	Harvest Intensity	Reduction Rate (%)	Installation Year	Anticipated Harvest Year
Williams	WIL	None	0%	2015	n/a
Treat	TRE	Low	35%	2015	2018
Uqlidisi	UQL	Moderate	55%	2016	2018
Ziemer	ZIE	High	75%	2015	2018

Project 3: Water Worlds Study

Project PI: Dr. Salli Dymond (PSW)

Project Collaborators: PSW, Dr. Jeff McDonnell (University of Saskatchewan), Dr. Kevin Bladon (Oregon State University)

Funding Sources: Unknown amount from Saskatchewan, additional $ TBD

It was traditionally believed that water movement along a hillslope followed the theory of translatory flow, or that precipitation entering the soil displaces the water that is currently present by displacing the older water further into the soil profile and eventually to the stream (Pearce et al., 1986). New research, however, has suggested the existence of "two water worlds," whereby soil water does not mix with precipitation (Brooks et al, 2010). It is unknown whether or not forest management activities may disturb subsurface flow processes enough to enhance or eliminate mixing of subsurface water pools. The primary objectives of this project are to improve mechanistic understanding of how timber harvesting influences (a) the delivery of water from hillslopes to streams, and (b) residual tree water use. Specifically, the research will address the following questions:

1) How does timber harvesting affect hydrologic connectivity across the upslope-riparian-stream continuum, thereby influencing the hydrologic response of a catchment to storm events?
2) How does the residence time of water in a catchment change following forest harvesting?
3) How does the ratio of event (new) to pre-event (old) water change following timber harvesting?
4) Do residual trees change their source of water for transpiration following timber harvesting?

A dual isotope (δ^2H, δ^{18}O) approach will be used to compare water samples from soil, groundwater, streamflow, and plants before and after harvesting across a gradient of harvest intensities (i.e., low, moderate, high). This approach allows an investigation of the influence of timber harvesting on the 'two water worlds': (a) the tightly bound water used by trees, and (b) the mobile water related to infiltration, groundwater recharge, hillslope runoff, and streamflow. Water samples will be collected on a predetermined time step (TBD) at the same locations as the soil-plant-water dynamics study sites by PSW researchers. Samples will be analyzed for δ^2H and δ^{18}O at the McDonnell Stable Isotopes lab at the University of Saskatchewan. Data will be collected for at least one year post-harvest.

Project 4: Bioassessment Study

Project PI: TBD

Project Collaborators: Jim Harrington (CDFW), Dr. Salli Dymond (PSW), CAL FIRE

Funding Sources: TBD

California is in the process of adopting ecological performance measures (EPMs) to evaluate resource management practices and support effective regulatory policies. Bioassessment protocols-one potential type of EPM associated with chemical, physical, and biological water quality monitoring-have been developed by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife

(CDFW) and are overseen by the State Water Board's Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP). Over the past decade, SWAMP has invested a considerable portion of its annual budget to develop standard bioassessment tools for measuring the health of streams based on the composition of invertebrate and algal communities that reside in them. SWAMP's bioassessment protocols are derived from a widely accepted nationwide EPA program, and have been used as the standard method for evaluating stream health throughout California since 2000 (Ode et al., 2011). The objectives of this study are to:

1) Establish sampling sites within the South Fork Caspar Creek.

2) Sample the sites annually using SWAMP bioassessment procedures.

3) Summarize results for assessing the biotic response to the stand density reduction treatments.

This project will use the CDFW SWAMP protocol, which is a well-tested, standardized method for direct site assessment of channel, hydrologic, and geomorphic conditions, stream and riparian habitat type, water chemistry, and benthic macroinvertebrate and algal community composition. It is anticipated that sites will be assessed using the full SWAMP protocol and additional measures relevant to forestry such as riparian canopy cover, vegetation and species stand type will be included. All sample locations will be permanently monumented to help field crews locate the exact stream site for future monitoring events. Sampling will be conducted by either experienced SWAMP field crews or by trained personnel from other sources (e.g. university or agency). If non-CDFW ABL (Aquatic Bioassessment Laboratory) staff conducts the field work, then a strict QA/QC program will be developed to train, calibrate, and audit the crew following SWAMP procedures. Biological and chemical samples will be processed by ABL staff using standard taxonomic procedures.

Sampling sites will be established at the base of all nine sub-watersheds in the larger South Fork Caspar Creek watershed near gauging stations, at five locations in Caspar Creek above and below the confluence of the sub-watersheds, and at three sites in Caspar Creek downstream of the study area. The 17 sites will be sampled using SWAMP procedures in the spring of 2016 and 2017 before timber harvest and at the same time each year from 2018 through 2020. A report of findings will be completed before the 2021 sampling period to determine current conditions and whether additional sampling should occur. Workloads for this project will be determined after securing funding and collaborators for this project.

Project 5: Distributed-Hydrology-Soil-Vegetation Model (DHSVM) Study

Project PI: Dr. Chris Surfleet (California Polytechnic State University)

Project Collaborators: TBD

Funding Sources: TBD

The third Caspar Creek experiment will investigate how varying levels of forest stand density reduction influence watershed processes while utilizing current California Forest Practice Rules. These results can be compared with the first and second experiments to look at how the impacts of management have changed in conjunction with updated FPRs. However, because the third experiment is limited by a small number of treatment areas (sub-watersheds), only the combined effect of the updated FPRs can be tested as part of the experiment. Calibrating a hydrologic model for use at Caspar Creek will allow a multitude of questions about how timber harvesting and road construction influence streamflow and sediment at this site. Specific research questions for this study include:

1) Calibrate a hydrologic model for use at the Caspar Creek watersheds.
2) Determine, via modeling, how specific FPR requirements (e.g., riparian buffer width) influence water and sediment yield.

The Distributed Hydrology Soil Vegetation Model (DHSVM) will be used for the hydrologic modeling. DHSVM is a complex model, yet has an advantage over other models because it incorporates road networks and forest practices into sediment and streamflow predictions (Wigmosta et al., 1994). Calibrating and validating the model will be possible due to the long-term records available at Caspar Creek. Following calibration, streamflow and sedimentation at Caspar Creek will be simulated while changing model parameters, such as vegetation type and road standards (which will simulate logging and road best management practices, respectively).

Project 6: Sediment Fingerprinting Study

Project PIs: Dr. Jeff Hatten (Oregon State University)

Project Collaborators: Drs. Catalina Segura and Kevin Bladon (OSU), Dr. Salli Dymond (PSW), Dr. Bob Danehy (NCASI)

Funding Sources: TBD

Timber harvesting inherently affects the hydrologic regime of associated streams and rivers through decreased evapotranspiration and interception. The resultant change in frequency and/or magnitude of high flows (e.g., peak flows, storm flow volumes) affects the transport of suspended sediment in the watershed (Lewis et al., 2001). An increase in suspended sediment within the channel could have cascading effects on riparian functions. Identifying the source of sediment within a watershed will help managers identify whether or not sediment in the channel is coming from active timber operations, post-harvest erosion, or in-channel sediment resulting from an increase in scouring due to higher flows. This will help managers identify places to target improved management and BMPs. The specific goals of this study are to:

1) Determine the source of sediments in the sub-watersheds of South Fork Caspar Creek using geochemical fingerprinting techniques.
2) Investigate how different levels of stand density reduction influence the source of sediment in this stream system.

Sediment source fingerprinting techniques require that likely sources of sediment be known, and that the chemical characteristics can be measured and used to distinguish the sources (Stout and Belmont, 2011; Belmont, 2013). Source material samples will be collected from hillslopes, stream banks, and ephemeral channel soil, as well as sediment from the streambed and bars. Source materials will be identified, sampled, and their chemical characteristics determined. Soils will be collected from soil pits throughout the experimental area prior to timber harvesting. This will be done prior to harvesting for all of the sub-watersheds. Fine sediment stored within the streambed will be collected using a freeze-core method. Freeze cores will be collected seasonally (four times per year) in order to examine the annual scouring/infilling cycle in relation to storms and time since harvest. Relatively large samples are needed for source analysis, so we will install a network of in situ time-integrating sediment samplers (Phillips Samplers) near stream cross sections. Sediment from these samplers will be collected each time the bed is sampled. Workloads for this project will be determined after finding available funding sources.

Project 7: Fine Sediment Study

Project PI: Dr. Ivan Arismendi (Oregon State University)

Project Collaborators: Dr. Salli Dymond (PSW), Dr. Bob Danehy (NCASI)

Funding Sources: NCASI ($50K)

A large body of evidence has shown that historical forest management practices substantially increased fine sediment delivery to streams. As a result, current forest management is designed to mitigate and minimize negative effects on riparian ecosystems. Sediment delivery to streams under contemporary forest practices are therefore very different than what was documented in past studies of historical management practices (Loehle et al, 2014). Little is known about the consistency of fine sediment transport among neighboring watersheds, leaving questions about how contemporary sediment transport in streams varies spatially and temporally. Watersheds with high natural variability of in-stream sediment transport under baseline conditions add to the difficulty in identifying the contribution of contemporary forest management practices to sediment rates. Moreover, simple descriptors of central tendency (e.g., mean and median) are inadequate to provide insights about the frequency, duration, or timing of extreme hydrological events that lead to changes in sediment transport. The detection of potential shifts in extreme hydrological events may be especially relevant to understand stressful conditions to salmonids.

The goal of this study is to apply novel descriptors of hydrological (fine suspended sediment and streamflow) events to suspended sediment data collected from the second and third experiments at the Caspar Creek Experimental Watersheds. The specific research objectives are to:

1) Evaluate and apply previously developed descriptors of fine suspended sediment regimes to the long-term datasets available from Caspar Creek (Diehl et al., 2010; Arismendi et al. 2013; Arismendi et al. 2015).
2) Contrast changes in hydrological events within sub-watersheds and between the South Fork and North Fork of Caspar Creek.

3) Evaluate the utility of these descriptors for analyzing streamflow datasets from Caspar Creek.

Specifically, we will evaluate and contrast forest management practices from the second experiment (1985-present) conducted in the North Fork with the third experiment in the South Fork by using two novel statistical techniques: the magnitude-magnitude plot and the magnitude-duration plot. We will also use post-harvest data from the South Fork Caspar Creek experiment to determine the effect of different harvest treatment intensities relative to background streamflow and sediment conditions. Streamflow and SSC data will be collected by PSW researchers and analyses for this study will be conducted by the PI.

Project 8: Erosional Consequences of Legacy Road Rehabilitation Study
Project PI: Liz Keppeler (PSW)
Project Collaborators: PSW, CAL FIRE
Funding Sources: PSW and CAL FIRE

The effects of legacy roads and skid trails on erosion and sediment production remain of critical concern to managers and regulators. Two previous watershed-scale experiments at the Caspar Creek Experimental Watersheds have contributed greatly to our understanding of watershed processes affecting sediment transport and water quality and have led to improved Forest Practice Rules in California and elsewhere. However, the trade-offs between short-term sediment "costs" of road rehabilitation and long-term benefits are not well-documented. The objective of this project is to assess the effects of the rehabilitation treatments in isolation from logging effects and compare them to the combined effects of rehabilitation preformed in conjunction with timber harvest. The specific questions to be addressed are:

1) What are the erosional consequences of road rehabilitation treatments done in the absence of timber harvest in comparison to coincident harvest and rehabilitation?
2) What is the duration of road rehabilitation effects on downstream water quality (SSC and turbidity) with and without additional timber harvest?

Rehabilitation work along 4 km of a mid-slope road constructed in the 1970s will consist of removing abandoned stream crossings and improvement of road drainage to dissipate surface runoff before it contributes to saturation and destabilization of hillslopes. Road rehabilitation work began in 2011 and will continue with harvest operations (Table 5). Measurements include establishment and annual monitoring of longitudinal profiles and multiple cross-sections at stream crossings and hillslope transects before and after treatment. In addition, these direct measurements of on-site disturbances and subsequent erosion will be compared to sediment loads measured at the downstream gauging stations. Annual survey measurements will be completed for a minimum of two years post-harvest and at less frequent intervals subsequently (TBD) based on magnitude of response and peak flows.

Table 5. Proposed timeline for the road rehabilitation study.

Gauged Watershed	Treatment	Date scheduled
YOC	Rehabilitation treatment only (no harvest)	2011
ZIE	Rehabilitation with delayed harvest	2011, 2018
WIL	none	NA
UQL	Rehabilitation treatment with harvest	2018

Other Proposed Studies

The third experiment at Caspar Creek presents a unique opportunity to investigate the relationship between stand density reduction and watershed/ecosystem processes. The following projects are also in various stages of development:

1. Monitor stand regeneration post-harvest and determine ecosystem resiliency following different levels of disturbance (potential PI/collaborator: Dr. Sarah Bisbing, Cal Poly State University).
2. Investigate the relationship between harvest intensity and redwood sprouting (potential PI/collaborators: Dr. Kevin O'Hara, UC Berkeley; Dr. Pascal Berrill, Humboldt State University).
3. Analyze the economic and ecological tradeoffs between even-aged and uneven-aged silvicultural management practices in South Fork Caspar Creek (collaborators TBD).
4. Monitor the channel geomorphology in the South Fork Caspar watershed and see how stand density reduction influences the rate of channel headcut migration (PI: Diane Montoya, PSW).
5. Utilize the long pre-treatment record for water temperature and micro-climate parameters available from CDFW along the South Fork Caspar Creek to evaluate the

potential impacts of contemporary harvesting practices on water temperature and forest microclimate (PI: TBD).

Project Timeline

Planning for the experiment began in 2000 with specific project details developed in winter 2015. Pre-treatment surveys of legacy roads and site monitoring began in 2010 with initial treatments accomplished in 2011. Pre-harvesting surveys and forest inventories occurred in summer 2015 and will continue in spring/summer 2016. Harvesting in the matrix will begin in spring 2017, with harvest operations in the gauged sub-watersheds planned for 2018 (Table 6).

Partnership and Collaboration

The third experiment will provide excellent opportunities to collaborate with government agencies, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and academic institutions (see Appendix B for a list of current collaborators/contributors to the experiment). Projects that add to the breadth of research collected at Caspar Creek that are able to fit into the current framework and provide their own funding of the third experiment will be welcome. Potential ancillary research ideas include (but are not limited to) carbon, soil fungi, biogeochemistry, aquatic and terrestrial habitat and wildlife species responses, non-commercial plant species responses, and growth and yield modeling.

QA/QC and Data Repository

The objective of quality assurance and control (QA/QC) for all Caspar Creek data is to reduce sources of error from the time of initial data collection, through data processing, and ending in the addition of data to the final data repository. Steps will be taken to (1) provide ongoing training to staff so that data collection and processing are performed in a standardized way, (2) ensure routine backup of all data to at least two locations, (3) perform audits to ensure the completeness of data, (4) provide metadata to document data entry and processing procedures, and (5) regularly calibrate and maintain equipment. Documents detailing QA/QC procedures will be required prior to instrumentation for all data collected as part of the third experiment. Collected data will be made public after undergoing QA/QC and results have been disseminated via academic publications.

Table 6. Tentative timeline for timber operations for 3rd experiment at Caspar Creek.

Objective	Responsible Agency	Est. Start Date	Est. End Date
Updated forest inventory	CAL FIRE	June 2015	June 2016
Assign treatment watersheds	PSW	July 2015	July 2015
Timber harvesting plan	CAL FIRE/PSW	July 2015	September 2016
Northern Spotted Owl survey	CAL FIRE	August 2015	August 2017
Marbled Murrelet survey	CAL FIRE	August 2015	August 2017
Botany survey	CAL FIRE	August 2015	August 2016
JDSF-RC* presentation	CAL FIRE/PSW	Nov. 9, 2015	Nov. 9, 2015
JAG** presentation	CAL FIRE/PSW	Nov. 16, 2015	Nov. 16, 2015
Timber sale bids	CAL FIRE	Winter 2016	January 2017
Road building	CAL FIRE	Spring 2017	Fall 2017
Road decommissioning	CAL FIRE	Spring 2017	Fall 2017
Timber Harvest	CAL FIRE	Spring 2017	Fall 2018

*Jackson Demonstration State Forest Research Committee
**Jackson Advisory Group

Literature Cited

Arismendi, I., S.L. Johnson, J.B. Dunham, and R. Haggerty. 2013. Descriptors of natural thermal regimes in streams and their responsiveness to change in the Pacific Northwest of North America. *Freshwater Biology*. 58: 880-894.

Arismendi, I., S.L. Johnson, and J.B. Dunham. 2015. Higher-order statistical moments and a procedure that detects potentially anomalous years as two alternative methods describing alterations in continuous environmental data. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*. 19: 1169-1180.

Belmont, P. 2013. Sediment fingerprinting for sources and transport pathways in the Root River, southeastern Minnesota. Final project report to Minnesota Corn Growers Association. Utah State University. Logan, UT. 23 p.

Brooks, J.R., H.R. Barnard, R. Coulombe, and J.J. McDonnell. 2010. Ecohydrological separation of water between streams and trees in a Mediterranean climate. *Nature Geoscience*. doi: 10.1038/NGEO722.

Cafferata, P.H. and L.M. Reid. 2013. Applications of long-term watershed research to forest management in California: 50 years of learning from the Caspar Creek Experimental Watersheds. California Forestry Report No. 5. State of California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Sacramento, CA. 110 p.

Diehl, T.H. and W.J. Wolfe. 2010. Suspended-sediment concentration regimes for two biological reference streams in middle Tennessee. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*. 46: 824-837.

Grant, G., S. Hayes, and S. Lewis. 2003. Effect of peak flow increases on sediment transport regimes following timber harvest, western Cascades, Oregon. Abstract in: Renard. K.G., McElroy, S.A., Gburek, W.J., Canfield, H. E., and Scott. R. L.,eds. First Interagency Conference on Research in the Watersheds, October 27-30, 2003. U.S. Department of Agriculture_ Agriculture Research Service.

Henry, N. 1998. Overview of the Caspar Creek Watershed Study. In: Ziemer, R.R. (Ed.). Proceedings of the Conference on Coastal Watersheds: The Caspar Creek Story. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW GTR-168. Albany, California: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station; 149 p.

Hibbert, A.R. 1967. Forest treatment effects on water yield. *In:* W.E. Sopper and H.W. Lull (eds.) Int. Symp. For. Hydrol., Pennsylvania, September 1965. Permagon, Oxford.

Karwan, D.L., J.A. Gravelle, and J.A. Hubbart. 2007. Effects of timber harvest on suspended sediment loads in Mica Creek, Idaho. *Forest Science*. 53(2): 181-188.

Keppeler, E.T. and J. Lewis. 2007. Understanding the hydrologic consequences of timber harvest and roading: four decades of streamflow and sediment results from the Caspar Creek Experimental Watersheds. *In:* M. Furniss, C. Clifton, and K. Ronnenberg (eds.) Advancing the Fundamental Sciences: Proceedings of the Forest Service National Earth Sciences Conference, San Diego, CA, 18-22 October 2004. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-689. Portland, OR: U.S. Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 577 p.

Lewis, J. and R. Eads. 2009. Implementation guide for turbidity threshold sampling: principles, procedures, and analysis. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-GTR-212.
Albany, CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station. 87 p.

Lewis, J., S.R. Mori, E.T. Keppeler, R.R. Ziemer. 2001. Impacts of logging on storm peak flows, flow volumes and suspended sediment loads in Caspar Creek, California. *In*: M.S. Wigmosta and S.J. Burges (eds.) Land Use and Watersheds: Human Influence on Hydrology and Geomorphology in Urban and Forest Areas. Water Science and Application Volume 2, American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C.; 85-125.

Loehle, C., T.B. Wigley, A. Lucier, E. Schilling, R. Danehy, and G. Ice. 2014. Towards improved water quality in forestry: opportunities and challenges in a changing regulatory environment. *Journal of Forestry*. 112(1): 41–47.

Ode, P.R., T.M. Kincaid, T. Fleming and A.C. Rehn. 2011. Ecological Condition Assessments of California's Perennial Wadeable Streams: Highlights from the Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program's Perennial Streams Assessment (PSA) (2000-2007). A collaboration between the State Water Resources Control Board's Non-Point Source Pollution Control Program (NPS Program), Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP), California Department of Fish and Game Aquatic Bioassessment Laboratory, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 89 p.

O'Hara, K.L. and J-P. Berrill. 2010. Dynamics of coast redwood sprout clump development in variable light environments. *Journal of Forest Research.* 15: 131-139.

Oliver, C.D. and B.C. Larson. 1990. Forest stand dynamics. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, NY. 544 p.

Pearce, A.J., M.K. Steward, and M.G. Sklash. Storm runoff generation in humid headwater catchments, 1, where does the water come from? *Water Resources Research*. 22: 1263-1272.

Reid, L.M. and J. Lewis. 2009. Rates, timing, and mechanisms of rainfall interception loss in a coastal redwood forest. *Journal of Hydrology*. 375:459-470.

Rice, R.M., F.B. Tilley, and P.A. Datzman. 1979. A watershed's response to logging and roads: South Fork of Caspar Creek, California, 1967-1976. Research Paper PSW-146. US Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Berkeley, CA. 12 p.

Stednick, J.D. 1996. Monitoring the effects of timber harvest on annual water yield. *Journal of Hydrology*. 176: 79-95.

Stout, J. and P. Belmont. 2011. Sediment sources and transport pathways in the Root River. AGU Fall Meeting. San Francisco, CA.

Wigmosta, M.S., L. Vail, and D.P. Lettenmaier. 1994. A distributed hydrology model for complex terrain. *Water Resources Research*. 30: 1665-1679.

Ziemer, R.R. 1998. Proceedings of the Conference on Coastal Watersheds: the Caspar Creek Story. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-GTR-168. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Albany, CA. 149 p.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have agreed to this Study Plan as of the last date written below.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CA NATURAL RESOURCES AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY
AND FIRE PREOTECTION

By ____________________
HELGE ENG
Deputy Director, Resource Management

Date 2/10/16

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
FOREST SERVICE
PACIFIC SOUTHWEST RESARCH STATION

By ____________________
JOSH WILSON
Acting Program Manager

Date ____________________

APPENDIX A. Example of informational needs for potential research projects to be conducted as part of the third experiment at Caspar Creek. Official approval requires consent from PSW and CAL FIRE.

Project Title:	Name of the research project
Project PI:	List the principle investigator(s) as well as affiliation
Collaborators:	List any collaborators as well as their affiliations
Justification:	Briefly describe the justification for this research project (1-2 sentences)
Objectives:	Briefly describe the objectives of the research
Methods:	Give a brief overview of the methods used to achieve your objectives. A more detailed study plan will be required before project implementation.
Budget:	List the basic budget requirements for this project (does not need to be exact).
Timeline:	Detail the project timeline. Note the timeline for timber harvesting (Table 6).
Funding:	Please provide the funding agency for any financial support that you have secured or are planning to secure for this project.
Personnel:	List any additional personnel that will be assisting with this project (field/laboratory assistance, data analysis, etc.), as well as their affiliation.
Outcomes:	Indicate the anticipated outcomes of the project.

APPENDIX B. List of persons affiliated with discussions, planning, and research of the third experiment at Caspar Creek.

USDA FS-PSW: Salli Dymond, Leslie Reid (retired), Liz Keppeler, Diane Montoya, Jayme Seehafer, Brian Storms, Jim Baldwin, Matt Busse, Megan Arnold, Jack Lewis (retired), Tom Lisle (retired), Sue Hilton (retired)

CAL FIRE: Lynn Webb, Pete Cafferata, Pam Linstedt, Drew Coe, Kirk O'Dwyer, Dennis Hall, Helge Eng, Brian Barrett (retired), Gwendolyn Ozard, Christopher Rowney, Dave Loveless, Gabriel Schultz

UC Berkeley: Kevin O'Hara, Todd Dawson

Oregon State University: Kevin Bladon, Jeff Hatten, Catalina Segura, Ivan Arismendi, Chris Still

University of Saskatchewan: Jeff McDonnell

California Polytechnic and State University: Sarah Bisbing, Chris Surfleet

National Council for Air and Stream Improvement: Bob Danehy

CA Department of Fish and Wildlife: Jim Harrington

Green Diamond Resource Company: Matt House

Colorado State University: Lee MacDonald

Campbell Global: Kevin Faucher

Humboldt Redwood Company: Mike Miles, Nick Harrison

JDSF Research Committee

Jackson Advisory Group