

1 **Forest Practice Committee Cumulative Impacts Assessment Discussion**

2 **August 19, 2014**

3
4 **912.9, 932.9, 952.9 Cumulative Impacts Assessment Checklist [All Districts]**

5
6 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA BOARD OF FORESTRY AND FIRE PROTECTION**

7 **CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ASSESSMENT**

8 (1) Do the assessment area(s) of resources that may be affected by the proposed
9 project contain any past,
10 present, or reasonably foreseeable probable future projects? Yes ___ No ___

11 If the answer is yes, identify the project(s) and affected resource subject(s).

12 (2) Are there any continuing, significant adverse impacts from past **land use**
13 **activities** that may add to the impacts of the proposed project? Yes ___ No ___

14 If the answer is yes, identify the activities, and describing their location, impacts and
15 affected resource subject(s).

16 (3) Will the proposed project, as presented, in combination with past, present, and
17 reasonably foreseeable probable future projects identified in items (1) and (2) above, have
18 a reasonable potential to cause or add to significant cumulative impacts in any of the
19 following resource subjects?

20

<u>Resource</u> <u>Subjects</u>	Yes after mitigation (a)	No after mitigation (b)	No reasonably potential significant effects (c)
1. Watershed			

Comment [CDFW1]: Should not imply that one assessment area will work for all impacts.

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [CDFW2]: Should this be clarified to include the impacts from past "projects" as defined under CEQA? How are pre-CEQA "legacy" impacts to be accounted for?

Comment [CDFW3]: How is the RPF to determine this? Criteria?

Comment [CDFW4]: "projects"?

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [CDFW5]: Extend #2 to include future on-site and assessment area projects.

Comment [CDFW6]: The CEQA Guidelines Appendix G, "Environmental Checklist Form" includes additional and different "resource subjects", which are referred to as "Environmental Factors". While the form appears to pertain to "Potentially Significant Impacts," "significant cumulative impact" is one category of such impacts.

<u>Resource Subjects</u>	Yes after mitigation (a)	No after mitigation (b)	No reasonably potential significant effects (c)
2. Soil Productivity			
3. Biological			
4. Recreation			
5. Visual			
6. Traffic			
7. Greenhouse Gases (GHG)			
78. Other			
<p>a) <u>“Yes after mitigation”</u>, means that <u>the project contributes to potential significant adverse cumulative impacts that remain are left</u> after application of the <u>Fforest pPractice Rrules, restoration activities, and mitigation sasures</u> or alternatives proposed by the plan submitter.</p> <p>b) <u>“No after mitigation”</u> means that <u>any</u> potential for the proposed timber operation to cause or add to significant adverse cumulative impacts by itself or in combination with other projects has been reduced to insignificance or avoided by mitigation measures, <u>restoration activities</u>, or alternatives proposed in the THP and application of the <u>Fforest pPractice RRules</u>.</p> <p>c) <u>“No reasonably potential significant cumulative effects”</u> means that <u>past projects have not, current projects are not, and potential future projects will not lead to significant adverse cumulative impacts, and thus the project cannot contribute to</u></p>			

Comment [CDFW6]: The CEQA Guidelines Appendix G, “Environmental Checklist Form” includes additional and different “resource subjects”, which are referred to as “Environmental Factors”. While the form appears to pertain to “Potentially Significant Impacts,” “significant cumulative impact” is one category of such impacts.

Comment [CDFW7]: If the purpose of the restoration activities is to minimize or off-set impacts, then they are mitigations. This applies to where “restoration activities” are referenced elsewhere in this document.

Comment [CDFW8]: See above.

Comment [CDFW9]: “b” could be either there are no significant cumulative impacts at all, or that there are – but the plan is not contributing to them.

<u>Resource</u>			
<u>Subjects</u>	Yes	No	No reasonably
	after mitigation	after mitigation	potential
	(a)	(b)	significant effects (c)

~~them, the operations proposed under the THP do not have a reasonable potential to join with the impacts of any other project to cause, add to, or constitute significant adverse cumulative impacts.~~

Comment [CDFW6]: The CEQA Guidelines Appendix G, "Environmental Checklist Form" includes additional and different "resource subjects", which are referred to as "Environmental Factors". While the form appears to pertain to "Potentially Significant Impacts," "significant cumulative impact" is one category of such impacts.

Comment [CDFW10]: A project may have led to an impact, but recovered by the time of the project under review.

1 (4) If column (a) is checked in (3), ~~above~~ describe why the expected impacts cannot
2 be feasibly mitigated or avoided and what mitigation measures, restoration activities, or
3 alternatives were considered to reach this determination. If column (b) is checked in (3),
4 ~~above~~ describe what mitigation measures and/or restoration activities have been selected
5 which will substantially reduce or avoid reasonably potential significant cumulative impacts
6 except for those mitigation measures or alternatives mandated by application of the
7 Forest Practice Rules of the Board. If column (c) is checked in (3), ...[?]

8 (5) Provide a brief description of, and rationale for the resource assessment area(s)
9 used for each resource subject. More than one assessment is likely may be needed for
10 each resource subject.

11 (6) Identify ~~List and briefly describe~~ the individuals, organizations, and records
12 consulted in the assessment of cumulative impacts for each resource subject. Records of
13 the information used in the assessment shall be provided to the Director upon request.
14
15

16 **BOARD OF FORESTRY AND FIRE PROTECTION**
17 **TECHNICAL RULE ADDENDUM NO. 2**
18 **CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ASSESSMENT**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Introduction

The purpose of this addendum is to guide the assessment of cumulative impacts as required in 14 CCR 898, 912.9, 932.9, 952.9 and 1034 that may occur as a result ~~from~~ of the proposed timber operations and other activities under projects. This assessment shall ~~include evaluation of~~ both on-site and off-site interactions of the proposed project activities in light of ~~with~~ the impacts of past and reasonably foreseeable future projects.

In conducting an assessment, the RPF must distinguish between ~~on-site potential impacts that would be caused by the proposed project (that may not be significant when considered alone) combined with~~ are mitigated by application of the Forest Practice Rules and the interactions of proposed activities (which may not be significant when considered alone) ~~with~~ the impacts of past, present and reasonably foreseeable future projects.

Resource subjects to be considered in the assessment of cumulative impacts are described in the Appendix.

The RPF preparing a ~~THP Plan~~ shall conduct an assessment based on information ~~that is reasonably available before submission~~ submitting of the THP Plan. RPFs are expected to submit ~~sufficient~~ sufficient information to support their findings if ~~significant~~ issues are raised during the Department's review of the ~~THP Plan~~.

Information used in the assessment of cumulative impacts may be supplemented during the ~~THP Plan~~ review period. Agencies participating in plan review may provide input into the cumulative impacts assessment based upon their ~~jurisdiction area of expertise~~. Agencies should support their recommendations with documentation.

The Department, as lead agency, shall ~~make the final determination regarding assessment~~ determine assessment sufficiency and the presence or absence of

Comment [CDFW11]: Should not this section of the Rules also be reviewed at this time?

Comment [CDFW12]: Analyses of cumulative effects include effects of past, present and reasonably foreseeable projects in all sectors.

Comment [CDFW13]: The Addendum uses "THP" and "Timber Harvesting Plan". The term "project" is also used. Suggest using "project" or "Plan" consistently.

1 significant cumulative impacts. This determination shall be based on a review of all
2 sources of information provided and developed during review of the Timber Harvesting
3 Plan.

5 **Identification of Resource Areas**

6 The RPF shall establish, explain the rationale, and briefly describe the geographic extent
7 of resource assessment areas within or surrounding the plan for each resource subject to
8 be assessed, and shall briefly explain the rationale for establishing the resource
9 assessment area. This shall be a narrative description and Resource assessment areas
10 shall be shown on a mapped where a map adds clarity to the assessment; e.g.,
11 Examples include the Watershed Assessment Area and Biological Assessment Area(s).

13 **Identification of Information Sources**

14 The RPF shall identify (name, date, and contact information, or publication citations)
15 list and briefly describe the individuals, organizations, and records used as sources of
16 information in the assessment of cumulative impacts, including references for listed
17 records and the names, affiliations, addresses, and phone numbers of specific individuals
18 contacted. Records of information used in the assessment shall be provided to the
19 Director upon request.

20 Common s Sources of information for cumulative effects assessment are identified
21 below. Sources to be used will depend upon the complexity of individual situations and
22 the amount of information available from other plans. Any relevant s Sources not, whether
23 listed below or not, may have to be consulted based on individual circumstances. Only
24 relevant Not all sources of information need to be consulted for any every THP Plan.

25 **1. Consultation with Experts and Organizations:**

- 1 (a) County Planning Department; (b) Biologists and Landscape
 2 ecologists;
 3 (c) Geologists; (d) Soil Scientists;
 4 (e) Hydrologists; (f) Local, State and Federal
 5 Agencies;
 6 (g) State Agencies Foresters; (h) Public and private
 7 utilities. (i) University and college professors.

8 **2. Records Examined:**

- 9 (a) Soil Maps; (b) Geology Maps;
 10 (c) Remotely sensed images Aerial Photographs and Satellite Imagery;
 11 (d) CDFW records: e.g., California Natural Diversity Data Base,
 12 Biogeographic Information & Observation System (BIOS);
 13 (e) THP Plan Records; (f) Special Environmental Reports;
 14 (g) Topographic Maps
 15 (h) Basin and/or Water Quality Control Plans; (i) Fire

Comment [CDFW14]: Not sure what a "Special environmental report is ... is this a relevant CEQA and/or NEPA document?"

Comment [CDFW15]: "Water Quality Control Plans" are referenced under the CWE section, below.

- 16 History Maps;
 17 (j) Relevant Federal Agency Documents or Plans
 18 (k) Relevant Scientific and professional society publications
 19 Theses, other unpublished studies
 20 Watershed or Wildlife Studies (published or unpublished)
 21 (l) Available Modeling Approaches
 22 (i) Biogeographic Information & Observation System (BIOS)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

1 —As provided in Section 898 of the Forest Practice Rules, the RPF or supervised
2 designee and the plan submitter must consult information sources that are reasonably
3 available.

Comment [CDFW16]: Already said ...see line 19, page 4.

4
5 **Past, Present and Future Projects & Environmental ProblemsActivities**

Comment [CDFW17]: This title as was originally only goes with section "A", not "B"

6 Past, present, and foreseeable future projects as well as known environmental
7 problems that may interact with the project shall be assessed included in the cumulative
8 impacts assessment shall be described as follows:

9 **A.** Identify and ~~briefly describe the location of~~ past, present and reasonably foreseeable
10 ~~probable~~ future projects as defined in 14 CCR § 895.1 within each described resource
11 assessment areas. Include a map or maps and associated legend(s) ~~clearly~~ depicting
12 the following information:

13 1. Township and Range numbers and Section lines.

14 2. If used for the watershed assessment area, the bBoundary of the planning
15 watershed(s) within which the plan ~~area~~ is located and along with the CALWATER 2.2
16 identification number(s).

Comment [CDFW18]: Do we mean "Plan" here or "project" as defined under CEQA? CEQA analyses are not to be limited to the effects of projects in any specific sector.

17 3. Location and boundaries of past, present and reasonably foreseeable probable
18 ~~future timber harvesting projects on land owned or controlled by the timberland owner~~
19 ~~of the proposed timber harvest~~ within the planning watershed(s) depicted in ~~s~~Section
20 (2) above, or resource assessment area, whichever is larger. For purposes of this
21 section, past projects ~~shall~~ may be limited to those ~~projects~~ submitted within ten years
22 prior to submission of the ~~THP~~Plan.

Comment [CDFW19]: Project locations are in the public record. How could an effective cumulative effects analysis be completed without consideration of the effects of projects in landscapes that include multiple ownerships; e.g. with "checkerboard" ownership patterns?

Comment [CDFW20]: Or "Plan"?

Comment [CDFW21]: Cumulative effects

Comment [CDFW22]: This is all a repeat of the "A" heading.

Comment [CDFW23]: The RPF might want to consider the on-going effects of projects that were submitted greater than 10 years ago.

23 4. Silvicultural methods for ~~each of the~~ timber harvesting projects within the area
24 and timeframe specified ~~depicted in s~~Section (3) above. Each specific silvicultural
25 method must be ~~clearly~~ delineated on the map(s), and

Comment [CDFW24]: "this section" only mean "3"?

Why limit to 10 years when resources and habitat may have not yet recovered from older projects.

Indicate if older projects need not be mapped and named, unrecovered resources and need to be identified.

1 associated ~~THP-Plan~~ number referenced in the legend or an annotated list. In addition,
 2 shading, hatching, or labeling shall be used which ~~clearly~~ differentiates silvicultural
 3 methods into one of the four categories outlined in Table 1. For projects other than
 4 timber harvesting projects, the mapping symbols shall be employed and defined to
 5 clearly depict changes to habitat structure, composition and function caused by the
 6 project.

7 5. A north arrow and scale bar (or scale text).

8 6. Source(s) of geographical information; e.g.,

9 The map scale shall be large enough to ~~clearly represent~~ portray the assessment area
 10 at a scale one planning watershed per page or of a scale not less than 1:63,360.
 11 ~~Planning watersheds or~~ Resource assessment areas larger than planning watersheds
 12 with densely situated or overlapping harvest units, or those which are large or irregular
 13 in size, may require multiple maps to achieve clarity. Map(s) shall be reproducible on
 14 black & white copiers, and submitted on an 8½ x 11 inch page(s).

Comment [CDFW25]: Isn't #6 already required above?

Comment [CDFW26]: Isn't this paragraph a part of the "A" supra-heading? This does not appear to relate to "Source(s) of geographical information".

16 **Table 1**

Silvicultural Category	Silvicultural Method
Evenaged Management 14 CCR § 913.1 [933.1, 953.1]	Clearcutting, Seed Tree Seed Step, Seed Tree Removal Step, Shelterwood Preparatory Step, Shelterwood Seed Step, Shelterwood Removal Step
Unevenaged Management 14 CCR § 913.2 [933.2, 953.2]	Selection, Group Selection, Transition

Intermediate Treatments 14 CCR § 913.3 [933.3, 953.3]	Commercial Thinning, Sanitation-Salvage
Special Prescriptions and Other Management 14 CCR § 913.4 [933.4, 953.4]	Special Treatment Area Prescriptions, Rehabilitation of Understocked Area Prescription, Fuelbreak/Defensible Space, Southern Subdistrict Special Harvesting Method (14 CCR § 913.8), Variable Retention, Conversion
Alternative Prescriptions shall be put into the category within which the most nearly appropriate or feasible silvicultural method in the Forest Practice Rules is found pursuant to 14 CCR § 913.6 (b)(3)[933.6(b)(3), 953.6(b)(3)].	

1
2 | **B. Identify, ~~and give the location~~ and description of any known, continuing**
3 significant environmental problems caused by past projects as defined in 14 CCR §
4 895.1. The RPF who prepares the plan or supervised designee shall obtain information
5 from plan submitters (timberland or timber owner), and from appropriate agencies,
6 | landowners, and individuals about past, other current, and future land management
7 activities and shall consider past experience, if any, in the assessment area related to
8 past impacts and the impacts of the proposed operations, rates of recovery, and land
9 uses. Discussions with and obtaining information from A poll of adjacent land owners is
10 encouraged and may be required by the Director to ~~determine such~~ identify relevant
11 activities and to discover significant adverse environmental problems on adjacent
12 ownerships.
13

1 **Appendix Technical Rule Addendum # 2**

2
3 In evaluating cumulative impacts, the RPF shall consider the factors set forth herein.

4 **A. Watershed Resources**

5 Cumulative Watershed Effects (CWEs) occur within and near bodies of ~~water or~~
6 ~~significant wet areas, wetwater, wet meadows, or other wet areas,~~ where individual impacts
7 are combined to produce an effect that is greater than any of the individual impacts acting
8 alone. ~~CWEs can be adverse or beneficial depending upon the activity (i.e., resource~~
9 ~~extraction versus restoration).~~ Factors to consider in the evaluation of cumulative
10 watershed effects impacts are listed below.

Comment [CDFW27]: This definition is already given elsewhere.
Comment [CDFW28]: Under CEQA, "effects" are adverse. Under NEPA, "effects" can be adverse or beneficial.
Comment [CDFW29]: We might consider using "cumulative watershed effects" consistently.

11 **1.** Impacts to watershed resources within the Watershed Assessment Area (WAA)
12 shall be evaluated based on ~~significant~~ on-site and off-site ~~cumulative~~ effects on beneficial
13 uses of water, as defined and listed in applicable Water Quality Control Plans.

Comment [CDFW30]: Same as "Basin Plans"?

14 **2.** Watershed effects produced by timber harvest and other activities may
15 include one or more of the following:

- 16 • Sediment discharge leading to aggradation and turbidity
- 17 • Water temperature increase
- 18 • Organic debris (large and fine) changes
- 19 • Chemical contamination
- 20 • Instream flow regimes, including increased P_p peak flows and reduced low
21 summer flows.

22 The following ~~general guidelines~~ shall guide ~~be used when~~ evaluating watershed
23 impacts. The factors ~~described are general and~~ may not be appropriate for all
24 situations. Actual measurements may be required ~~if needed~~ to evaluate significant

1 environmental effects. The plan must comply with the quantitative or narrative water-
2 quality objectives set forth in an applicable Water Quality Control Plan.

Comment [CDFW31]: Basin Plan?

3 **a. Sediment Discharge and Turbidity Effects.** Sediment-induced
4 CWEs occur when earth materials transported by surface erosion or mass wasting
5 erosion discharge into enter a stream or other waterbody stream system at separate
6 locations and are then combined at a downstream location to produce a change in water
7 quality or channel condition. The discharged eroded materials can originate from the
8 same or different projects and at the same or different times. Potentially adverse changes
9 are most likely to occur in the following locations and situations:

10 - Downstream areas of reduced low-gradient stream reaches
11 gradient where sediment from a new source may be deposited in addition to sediment
12 derived from existing or other new sources.

Comment [CDFW32]: Low-gradient reaches can be within the project area, not necessarily downstream.

13 - Immediately downstream from w Where sediment from a new
14 source is combined with sediment from other new or existing sources and the combined
15 amount of sediment exceeds the transport capacity of the stream.

Comment [CDFW33]: "Existing" should be defined; e.g., does it include "potential"?

Comment [CDFW34]: What about "future" sources from "reasonably foreseeable future projects"?

16 - Any location where sediment from new sources in
17 combination with suspended sediment from existing or other new sources significantly
18 reduces the survival and ability to meet life-requisite needs of fish or other aquatic
19 organisms or reduces the quality of waters used for domestic, agricultural, or other
20 beneficial uses.

21 - Channels with relatively steep gradients which contain
22 accumulated sediment and debris that can be mobilized by sudden new sediment inputs,
23 such as debris flows, resulting in debris torrents and severe channel scouring.

24 Potentially significant adverse impacts of cumulative effects of
25 sediment and turbidity inputs discharge may include:

1 | - Increased turbidity and treatment needs or reduced suitability
2 | for domestic, municipal, industrial, or agricultural water use.

3 | - Direct mortality of fish and other aquatic species.

4 | - Reduced growth and survival of juvenile salmonids, and
5 | impaired spawning and rearing habitat for salmonids.

6 | - Reduced viability of aquatic organisms or disruption of aquatic
7 | habitats and loss of stream productivity caused by filling of pools, loss of cover and
8 | plugging or burying streambed gravel.

9 | - Accelerated channel filling (aggradation) resulting in loss of
10 | streamside vegetation and stream migration that can ~~cause~~ accelerated bank erosion and
11 | warm water.

12 | -Accelerated channel filling (aggradation) resulting in increased
13 | frequency and magnitude of overbank flooding.

14 | - Accelerated filling of downstream reservoirs, navigable
15 | channels, water diversion and transport facilities, estuaries, and harbors.

16 | - Channel scouring by debris flows and torrents.

17 | - Nuisance to or reduction in water-related recreational
18 | activities.

19 | Situations where sediment production potential is greatest include:

20 | - Sites with high or extreme erosion hazard ratings.

21 | - ~~Sites-Where ground-based yarding occurs which are tractor~~
22 | ~~logged_~~ on steep slopes.

23 | - Where timber operations occur during the winter period.

24 | - Where road and landing facilities have not been hydrologically
25 | disconnected from watercourses

1 - Where drainage structures and facilities do not comply with
2 current standards.

3 - Where timber operations occur on Unstable areas.

4 **b. Water Temperature Effects.** Water temperature-related CWEs

5 are changes in water chemistry or biological properties that result from ~~caused by the~~
6 changes in insolation of water bodies combination of solar warmed water from ~~at~~ two or
7 more locations (e.g. within a stream or where two or more affected streams combine
8 flows) ~~in contrast to an individual effect that results from impacts along a single stream~~
9 ~~segment). These CWEs are most commonly occur where distinguishable where~~ natural
10 vegetative cover has been removed. Cumulative changes in water temperature are most
11 likely ~~to occur~~ in the following situations, where:

12 - ~~Where s~~Stream bottom materials are dark in color;

13 - ~~Where w~~Water is shallow and slow moving, especially during
14 summer months;

15 _____ - The channel affords little hyporheic exchange or and
16 has there is little underflow and input from springs and ground water;

17 - Effective shade from streamside canopy and adjacent forest
18 stands is diminished resulting in substantial additional;

19 ~~Where removal of streamside canopy results in substantial, additional solar exposure~~
20 insolation and transfer of heat through radiation or increased contact of water with warm
21 air at two or more locations along a stream or at locations along two or more streams that
22 are tributary to the same stream.

23 .

1 ~~_____ - Where removal of streamside canopy results in substantial,~~
2 ~~additional solar exposure or increased contact with warm air at two or more streams that~~
3 ~~are tributary to a larger stream.~~

4 ~~_____ - Where Average and peak water temperatures are is near a~~
5 ~~biological thresholds for specific species.~~

6 ~~_____ - In non-volcanic terrain (i.e., ~~non-spring~~non-spring-fed~~
7 ~~watersheds).~~

8 ~~_____ - In lower--elevation watersheds and outside of coastal air~~
9 ~~influence.~~

10 Significant adverse impacts of cumulative temperature ~~increases~~
11 ~~effects~~ include:

12 ~~_____ - Increases in the metabolic rates (peaks and amplitudes) of~~
13 ~~aquatic species causing stress and reduced resilience and survival.~~

14 ~~_____ - Direct increases in metabolic rate and/or r Increases in~~
15 ~~biological oxygen demand and reduction of dissolved oxygen levels, either of which can~~
16 ~~cause reduced vigor and mortality death of sensitive fish and other sensitive aquatic~~
17 ~~organisms.~~

18 ~~_____ - Changed increased growth rates of microorganism~~
19 ~~communities that may s that deplete dissolved oxygen levels or increased their disease~~
20 ~~virulence potential for organisms.~~

21 ~~_____ - Shifts in stream community flora and fauna through reduction~~
22 ~~or loss of one suite of species with an increase in another suite of species as adapted to~~
23 ~~specific water temperature regimes change after stream temperatures have changed to~~
24 ~~outside these regimes. Stream biology shifts toward warmer water ecosystems.~~

25

1 **c.–Organic Debris Effects.** ~~CWEs produced by e~~Organic debris
2 ~~produce CWEscan occur~~ when logs, limbs, and ~~foliage~~~~other organic material~~ are
3 introduced ~~-- or prevented from being introduced --~~ into a stream or lake at two or more
4 locations ~~or times~~. ~~Microorganisms that d~~~~Decomposition of~~ this debris, particularly the
5 smaller sized and less woody material, removes dissolved oxygen from the water ~~and can~~
6 ~~cause impacts similar to those resulting from increased water temperatures~~. Introduction
7 of excessive small organic debris can also increase water acidity.

8 Large organic debris (logs) is an important stabilizing agent ~~that should be~~
9 ~~maintained~~ in small- to medium- sized, steep- gradient channels. It also produces pool
10 habitat and cover for fish as well as promotes channel substrate conditions conducive to
11 fish and aquatic organism production in low gradient alluvial channels~~in larger fish-~~
12 ~~bearing watercourses and should be maintained or enhanced where increased habitat~~
13 ~~complexity will benefit listed fish species.,~~ ~~but~~ Excessive large organic debris can ~~the~~
14 sudden introduction of large, unstable volumes of bigger debris (such as logs, chunks,
15 and larger limbs produced during a logging operation), ~~however,~~ can obstruct and divert
16 streamflow against erodible banks, block fish migration, and under certain circumstances
17 may contribute to cause mass wasting (undercutting the base of unstable areas through
18 streambank erosion, debris torrents- and others). ~~during periods of high flow.~~

19 Removing streamside vegetation ~~can reduce~~ the natural dynamics and constituents
20 ~~, annual inputs~~ of fine organic litter to the stream (after decomposition of logging-related
21 litter). This can cause both a drop in food supply, and resultant productivity, and a change
22 in types of food available for organisms that normally dominate the lower food chain of
23 streams with an overhanging or adjacent forest canopy. Additionally, removal of large
24 riparian trees reduces the potential for wood recruitment to the watercourse channel.

1 **d. Chemical Contamination Effects.** Potential sources of chemical

2 CWEs include run-off from roads treated with oil or other ~~dust-retarding~~abating materials,
3 direct application or run-off from pesticide and herbicide treatments, contamination by
4 spills or leaks of equipment fuels and oils, use of fertilizers to promote growth, and the
5 introduction of nutrients released during slash burning or wildfire from two or more
6 locations.

7 **e. Effects on Instream Flow Regimes, Including Peak Flows and**

8 ~~Low Summer Flows~~ **Peak Flow Effects.** ~~CWEs can be~~ caused by management-
9 induced increases in peak flow ~~increases in streams~~ during storm events are difficult to
10 anticipate specific to scale and to silviculture and other management practices. Peak flow
11 ~~increases may~~ increase result from management activities that reduce rainfall interception
12 loss and vegetative water use (i.e., transpiration), reduce water percolation and retention
13 in soil through soil compaction and thereby increase surface run-off, ~~or produce openings~~
14 where snow can accumulate (such as ~~clear-cutting in clearcuts and~~ on roads and
15 landings, site preparation, intense wildfire areas), or that change alter the timing of flows by
16 affecting ~~producing more efficient the routing of runoff~~ routing (such as insloped and
17 hydrologically-connected roads). ~~While these increased peak flows, however, are~~
18 likely to be small relative to natural peak flows from medium and large storms, they can
19 produce ~~intensify~~ increased streambank erosion, channel incision, ~~and head cutting~~ ward
20 channel migration in erodible landscapes. Impacts on channel morphology are likely to
21 be greatest where streambeds are composed of gravel and finer material. Increases in
22 peak flows generally diminish with decreasing intensity (even-aged verse uneven-aged)
23 or of percentage of the watershed harvested, as well as ~~and the lengthening~~ of the flow
24 recurrence intervals of flow. Peak flow effects are ~~more pronounced and detectable~~
25 easier to detect in small watersheds, areas characterized by ~~where~~ rain-on-snow events

Comment [CDFW35]: Are these covered under "projects" or are they outside the scope of projects and project effects?

Comment [CDFW36]: This is not a project effect.

1 ~~occur, and for relatively small runoff events (e.g., two-year return interval flow). Research~~
2 ~~to date on the effects of management activities on channel conditions indicates that~~
3 ~~channel changes during storm events are primarily the result of large sediment~~
4 ~~inputs. Hydrologic conditions recovery from increased peak flows generally occurs within~~
5 ~~approximately 10 to 20 years, depending on timber type, regeneration success, site~~
6 ~~quality, pre-commercial thinning operations, and other factor sets.~~

7 CWEs can be caused by management-induced reductions in low-summer flows.

Comment [CDFW37]: Need a treatment of this topic.

8 **3. Watercourse Condition.** ~~The watershed impacts of past upstream and~~
9 ~~on-site projects are often reflected in the condition of stream channels ~~on~~ in the project~~
10 ~~area and downstream. The following is a list of channel characteristics and factors that~~
11 ~~may be used to describe current watercourse shed conditions and to assist in the~~
12 ~~evaluation of potential cumulative project impacts:~~

Comment [CDFW38]: Many of the following do not provide any analysis guidance

13 ~~a. Gravel Embeddedness~~ - Spaces between stream gravel filled
14 with sand or finer sediments. Gravels are often configured in a tightly packed
15 arrangement.

16 ~~b. Pools Filled~~ - Former pools or apparent pool areas filled with
17 sediments leaving few areas of deep or "quiet" water relative to stream flow or size.

18 ~~c. Channels Aggrading/Aggraded~~ - Stream channels filled or
19 filling with sediment that raises the channel bottom elevation and reduces water depth.
20 Pools will be absent or greatly diminished and gravel may be embedded or covered by
21 finer sediments. Streamside vegetation may be partially or completely buried, ~~and~~ the
22 stream may be meandering or cutting into its banks above the former level of the ~~former~~
23 streambed. Depositional areas (e.g., point and mid-channel bars) in aggrading channels
24 are often increasing in size and number.

1 ~~d.~~ Bank Cutting ~~— Can either be minor or severe and~~ Bank cutting
2 is indicated by areas of fresh, unvegetated soil or alluvium/colluvium exposed along the
3 stream banks, usually above the low-flow channel and often with a vertical or undercut
4 face. Severe bank cutting is often associated with channels that are downcutting, which
5 can lead to over-steepened banks. ~~On the other hand, or aggrading, channels which can~~
6 ~~cause the channel to migrate to deliver flow~~ against slopes that were previously above the
7 high flow level of the stream.

8 ~~e.~~ Bank Mass Wasting - Channels with landslides directly entering
9 the stream system. Slide movement may be infrequent (single events) ~~or frequent~~
10 ~~(recurring events) or continuous (e.g. earth flow continuing creep or periodic events)~~.

Comment [CDFW39]: Needs expansion – how might it inform CWE analysis?

11 ~~f.~~ Downcutting - Incised stream channels with relatively simplified
12 ~~and linear form~~ clean, uncluttered beds cut below the level of former streamside
13 vegetation and with eroded, often undercut or vertical, banks that are subject to mass
14 wasting.

Comment [CDFW40]: Ditto prior comment

15 ~~g.~~ Scoured - Stream channels that have been stripped of gravel
16 and finer bed materials by large flow events or debris torrents. Streamside vegetation has
17 often been swept away, and the channel has a raw, eroded appearance. Scoured
18 streams have fewer roughness elements and can deliver sediment more readily than
19 hydraulically rough channels.

Comment [CDFW41]: Ditto prior comment

20 ~~h.~~ Organic Debris - Debris in the watercourse can have either a
21 positive or negative impact depending on the amount and stability of the material. Some
22 stable organic debris present in the watercourse helps to form pools and retard sediment
23 transport and downcutting, especially in small to medium sized headwater streams with
24 relatively steep gradients. - In higher-order watercourses, Large wood accumulations and
25 associated channel materials are highly desirable as they for producing improved aquatic

1 habitat conditions in larger fish-bearing watercourses on-site and downstream, particularly
2 in coastal watersheds without bedrock/boulder channel conditions. Large accumulations
3 of organic debris combined with tightly packed bedload can block fish passage, block or
4 divert streamflow, or could be released as a debris flow.

5 ◇i. Stream-Side Vegetation - Stream-side vegetation and near-
6 stream vegetation provide shade or cover to the stream, which ~~may affects~~ microclimate
7 and have an impact on water temperature, and provides root systems that stabilize
8 streambanks and floodplains, and obstructs stream flow that filter sediment during from
9 flood flows.

10 ◇j. Recent Floods - A recent high flow event that would be
11 considered unusual in the project area may have an impact on the current watercourse
12 condition.

13 **B. Soil Productivity**

14 Cumulative soil productivity impacts occur when the effects of two or more activities,
15 from the same or different projects, combine to produce a significant decrease in soil
16 biomass production and shallow groundwater retention potential. These impacts most
17 often occur on-site within the project boundary, ~~and~~ The relative severity of productivity
18 losses for a given level of impact generally increases as site quality declines. The primary
19 factors influencing soil productivity that can be affected by timber operations include:

- 20 ◇ Organic matter loss. ◇ Soil compaction.
- 21 ◇ Surface soil loss. ◇ Growing space loss.

22 The following general guidelines may be used when evaluating soil productivity
23 impacts.

24 **1. Organic Matter Loss.** Displacement or loss of organic matter can result
25 in a long term loss of soil productivity. Soil surface litter and downed woody debris are the

Comment [CDFW42]: Is this an adverse cumulative effect?

Comment [CDFW43]: Is this a project-related effect or condition?

Comment [CDFW44]: Change format to black bullets, the same as for A. Watershed Resources 2.?

Comment [CDFW45]: Cumulative effects on soil resources are not limited to productivity.

1 store-house of long term soil_fertility, provide for soil moisture conservation, mediate
2 surface run-off percolation into ground water storage, function in carbon storage, and
3 support soil microorganisms that are critical in the nutrient cycling and uptake process.
4 Much of the chemical and microbial activity of the forest nutrient cycle is concentrated in
5 the narrow zone at the soil and litter interface.

6 Displacement of surface organic matter occurs as a result of skidding, mechanical
7 site preparation, and other land disturbing timber operations. Actual loss of organic matter
8 occurs as a result of burning or erosion and biomass extraction. The effects of organic
9 matter loss on soil productivity may be expressed in terms of the percentage
10 displacement or loss as a result of all project activities.

11 **2. Surface Soil Loss.** The soil is the storehouse of current and future site
12 fertility, and the majority of nutrients are held in the upper few inches of the soil profile.
13 Topsoil displacement or loss can have an immediate effect on site productivity, although
14 effects may not be obvious because of reduced brush competition and lack of side-by-
15 side comparisons or until the new stand begins to fully occupy the available growing
16 space.

17 Surface soil is primarily lost by erosion, ~~or~~ by displacement into windrows, piles, or
18 fills and road, skid trail, layout and landing construction. Mass wasting is a special case of
19 erosion with obvious extreme effects on site productivity. The impacts of surface soil loss
20 may be evaluated by estimating the proportion of the project area affected and the depth
21 of loss or displacement.

22 **3. Soil Compaction.** Compaction affects site productivity through loss of
23 large soil pores that transmit air and water in the soil and by restricting root penetration.
24 Soils are most susceptible to compaction at water contents near field capacity (not

1 | saturated soil conditions, where they are puddled or displaced). The risk of compaction is
2 | associated with:

- 3 | - Depth of surface litter. - Soil structure.
- 4 | - Soil organic matter content. - Presence and amount of coarse
- 5 | fragments in the soil.
- 6 | - Soil texture. - Soil moisture status.
- 7 | - Yarding method and types of equipment used.

8 |
9 | Compaction effects may be evaluated by considering the soil conditions, as listed
10 | above, at the time of harvesting activities, type of yarding proposed, and the proportion of
11 | the project area subjected to compacting forces.

12 | **4. Growing Space Loss.** Forest growing space is lost to roads, landings,
13 | permanent skid trails, and other permanent or non-restored areas subjected to severe
14 | disturbance and compaction.

15 | The effects of growing space loss may be evaluated by considering the overall
16 | pattern of roads, ~~etc.,~~ relative to feasible silvicultural systems and yarding methods.

Comment [CDFW46]: To what “etc.” refers is not clear.

17 | **C. Biological Resources**

18 | Biological assessment areas will vary with the resources (species and their habitat,
19 | natural communities) being evaluated ~~and its habitat.~~ Factors to consider in the
20 | evaluation of cumulative biological impacts include:

21 | **1.** Any known rare, threatened, or endangered species or -sensitive species
22 | (as described in the Forest Practice Rules) or species that meet the criteria under Section
23 | 15380 (c) of the CEQA Guidelines that may be directly or indirectly affected by project
24 | activities. Significant cumulative effects on ~~listed~~ species may be expected from the
25 | results of activities over time which combine to have a substantial effect on the species or

Comment [CDFW47]: Should this be qualified to refer to “project” activities?

1 on the habitat of the species. Species identified by State and federal fish and wildlife
2 agencies as of special concern should be evaluated.

3 **2.** Any significant, known wildlife, botanical or fisheries resource concerns
4 within the immediate project area and the biological assessment area (e.g. loss of oaks
5 creating forage problems for a local deer herd, loss of species requiring special habitats
6 or habitat elements required by species, reductions in sensitive species populations, and
7 impacts to significant natural areas). Significant cumulative effects may be expected
8 where required habitat there is a substantially reduced tion in and/or fragmentation of
9 required habitat. Similarly, a or the project may will result in significant cumulative effects
10 if it substantial interference with the movement of resident or migratory species.

11 The significance of cumulative impacts on non-listed species viability should be
12 determined relative to the benefits to other non-listed species. For example, the
13 manipulation of habitat results in conditions which discourage the presence of some
14 species while encouraging the presence of others.

15 **3.** The aquatic and near-water habitat conditions on the THP-Plan and associated
16 assessment areas immediate surrounding area. Habitat conditions of primary major
17 concern are: p Pools and riffles, bottom material size-class distribution (especially the
18 proportion of fine materials, bedload imbrication, l Large woody material in the stream,
19 n Near-water vegetation, water quality and water temperature, presence of artificial
20 barriers, and flow regimes (seasonal and in response to storm events). Much of the
21 information needed to evaluate these factors is described in the preceding Watershed
22 Resources section. A general discussion of their importance is given below:

23 **a. Pools and Riffles.** Pools and riffles affect overall habitat quality
24 and fish community structure. Streams with little structural complexity offer poor habitat
25 for fish communities as a whole, even though the channel may be stable. Structural

Comment [CDFW48]: Or simply “biological resource concerns”

Comment [CDFW49]: This applies to both listed and unlisted species.

Comment [CDFW50]: This is appropriate only in cases where both species are of management concern ... i.e., don't cloud the decision space by saying common species are benefited so reduction in viability of sensitive spp is ok.

Comment [CDFW51]: Why are these capitalized?

1 complexity is often lower in streams with low gradients, and filling of pools can reduce
2 stream productivity.

3 **b. Large Woody Material.** Large woody debris in the stream plays
4 an important role in creating and maintaining habitat through the formation of pools and
5 sorting of gravel used for spawning and providing substrate for benthic
6 macroinvertebrates. These pools comprise important feeding locations that provide
7 maximum exposure to drifting food organisms in relatively quiet water. Removal of woody
8 debris can reduce frequency and quality of pools.

9 **c. Near-Water Vegetation.** Near-water vegetation provides many
10 habitat benefits, including: shade, ambient humidity, nutrients, vertical diversity, migration
11 corridors, nesting, roosting, and escape. Recruitment ~~potential over short and long terms~~
12 of large woody material from near-water vegetation over short and long terms is also an
13 important in maintaining in-stream habitat quality.

14 **4.** The ~~biological species~~ habitat conditions ~~in~~ of the THP Plan and its associated
15 assessment areas ~~immediate surrounding area~~. Significant factors to consider are:

16 ◇ ~~Snags/den and other wildlife trees with special structures that make them~~
17 useful for for-nesting, denning, and roosting and as dens.

18 ◇ Hardwood cover

19 ◇ Downed, large logs and branches ~~organic woody debris~~ ◇ Late seral
20 ~~(mature) forest characteristics.~~ Successional Forest Stands

21 ◇ Multistory canopy ◇ Seral stage distribution

22 ~~Late seral Successional, climax forest and other~~ Early seral stages

23 seral stage habitat continuity

24 ◇ Road density

Comment [CDFW52]: how differ from 'continuity' -- one in space, one in time?

Comment [CDFW53]: This is not a habitat condition *per se* but it relates to habitat loss, fragmentation and invasive species.

1 The following ~~general guidelines may be used when evaluation of ngetrestrial biological~~
2 habitat. The factors described ~~are general and are may_~~ not be ~~pertinent appropriate_ to for~~
3 all situations. The ~~THP-Plan~~ preparer must also be alert to ~~the need to consider factors~~
4 ~~which are not listed below. Each project and assessment area is set of ground conditions~~
5 ~~are unique, as should be_ and the analysis of the impactseconducted must reflect those~~
6 ~~conditions.~~

7 **a. Snags/Den/Nest Trees:** Snags, den trees, nest trees ~~and their~~
8 ~~recruitment~~ are required elements in the overall habitat needs of more than 160 wildlife
9 species. Many of these species play a vital role in maintaining the overall health of
10 ~~forests on timberlands. Snags' value generally increase with diameter and height; that is,~~
11 ~~larger snags can function as species habitat for a larger number of species than smaller~~
12 ~~snags. Those of greatest function to wildlife and for the broadest range of species value~~
13 ~~are greater than >_16 inches" dbh DBH and 20 feetft_ tall in height. _although sSome~~
14 ~~species, such as pileated woodpecker, require snags and wildlife trees much larger than~~
15 ~~this. The analysis in particular should consider impacts on large snags in large numbers~~
16 ~~snag populations_ and describe potential for large degree of allowances for snag~~
17 ~~recruitment over time should be considered. Den trees are often partially live trees with~~
18 ~~elements of decay, the cavities of which provide protective shelter_wildlife habitat. While~~
19 ~~most trees can provide nesting substrate to some species, structurally complex Nest-trees~~
20 ~~provide especially important nesting opportunities to some have importance to birds,~~
21 ~~including_ classified as a_ sensitive bird species as well as nesting and resting sites for~~
22 ~~sensitive mammals. Nest trees, individually or in clusters, often include predominant,~~
23 ~~large trees with features that make them structurally complex; e.g., deep crowns,~~
24 ~~deformities, witch's broom, and / or and large branches. . They can be "residual" trees~~
25 ~~(originating from a primary forest) or "biological legacies". Their presence accelerates~~

Comment [CDFW54]: Virtually all trees can be used by some species of birds for nesting. Maybe better to find another term for the structurally complex trees that provide unusual features required for nesting by sensitive spp?

1 development of late-successional habitat function in maturing stands; and as habitat
2 elements, support species that depend on these elements ~~dependent species especially~~
3 in landscapes dominated by early and mid-successional forests. Distribution, both
4 clumped and dispersed as well as upslope and streamside, are important to providing
5 wildlife habitat value.

6 **b. Downed large, or coarse woody debris:** Large downed logs and
7 branches (particularly conifers) in the upland and near-water environment in all stages of
8 decomposition provide an important habitat for many wildlife species. As for snags, larger
9 coarse woody debris can function as species habitat for a larger number of species than
10 smaller debris although accumulations of smaller-diameter material can serve similar
11 habitat functions; however, larger-diameter debris tends to persist longer . Again, as with
12 snags, large woody debris of greatest function to wildlife and for the broadest range of
13 species value are greater than > 16 inches " diameter at the large end and greater than >
14 20 feet long. in length . Habitat value may differ between , both singly pieces and in-log
15 groups of logs or debris and slope position.

16 **c. Multistory canopy:** Upland multistoried canopies have a marked
17 influence on the diversity and density of wildlife species ~~utilizing the area.~~ More
18 productive timberland is generally of greater value and timber site capability should be
19 considered as a factor in an assessment. The effects of the proposed Plan combined
20 with those of other Plans on the The amount of upland multistoried canopy and may be
21 evaluated by estimating the percent of the Plan area's stands and the assessment areas
22 that are composed of two or more tree layers on an average per acre basis both pre- and
23 post-project.

24 Near-water multistoried canopies in riparian zones that include conifer and hardwood
25 tree species provide an important element of structural diversity to the habitat

Comment [CDFW55]: How?

1 requirements of wildlife. Near-water multistoried canopy may be evaluated by estimating
2 the percentage of ground covered by ~~one or more~~ than one vegetative canopy strata,
3 ~~considering also with more emphasis placed on~~ shrub species along Class ~~III and IV~~
4 streams (14 CCR 916.5, 936.5, or 956.5).

Comment [CDFW56]: Are shrub species considered contributing to multistoried stand structure? If so, why would the evaluation only consider shrubs along Class III watercourses?

5 **d. Road Density:** Frequently traveled permanent and secondary roads have a
6 significant influence on wildlife use of otherwise suitable habitat. ~~Large declines in d~~Deer
7 and bear use of areas adjacent to open roads ~~often decline~~are frequently noted. ~~Other~~
8 ~~species avoid roads and their habitat may be fragmented.~~ Roads are a primary mode of
9 ~~invasion by non-native species.~~ Road density influence on ~~large mammal wildlife~~ habitat
10 may be evaluated by estimating the miles of ~~open~~ permanent and temporary roads, on a
11 per-section basis, with a focus on that receive some level of maintenance frequency as
12 well as and level and type of use are open to the public. This assessment should also
13 account for the effects of vegetation screening and the relative importance of an area to
14 wildlife on a seasonal basis (e.g. winter range). Roads combined with other forest
15 openings can create impediments to wildlife movement and fragment interior forest
16 habitat. They can act as pathways for introduction of invasive species.

17 **e. Hardwood Cover:** Hardwoods provide an important element of habitat diversity in
18 the coniferous forest and are utilized as a source of food and/or cover by ~~many a large~~
19 ~~proportion of the state's bird and mammal wildlife~~ species. Additionally, hardwood
20 dominated forest types, such as oak woodlands, are recognized as important ecological
21 resources for fulfilling wildlife needs and sustaining biodiversity. Productivity of ~~deer and~~
22 ~~other many wildlife~~ species ~~is has been directly~~ related to mast crops associated with
23 either dispersed hardwoods located within conifer-dominated forest types or hardwood-
24 dominated forest types. Hardwood cover can be estimated using the basal area ~~per acre~~
25 provided by hardwoods of all species. When discussion of hardwood-dominated forest

1 types is warranted, hardwood cover can be estimated in acres or percent of total forested
2 acres.

3 **[Northern and Southern only]:** Post-harvest deciduous oak retention for
4 the maintenance of habitats for mule deer and other hardwood-associated wildlife shall be
5 guided by the Joint Policy on Hardwoods between the California Board of Forestry and
6 California Fish and Game Commission (5/9/94). To sustain wildlife, a diversity of stand
7 structural and seral conditions, and tree size and age classes of deciduous oaks should
8 be retained in proportions that are ecologically sustainable. Regeneration and
9 recruitment of young deciduous oaks should be sufficient over time to replace mortality of
10 older trees. Deciduous oaks should be present in sufficient quality and quantity, and in
11 appropriate locations to provide functional habitat elements for hardwood-associated
12 wildlife.

13 **f. Late Seral (Mature) Successional Forest Characteristics stands:**

14 Determination of the presence or absence of mature and ~~ever-mature old-growth~~ forest
15 stands ~~and their structural characteristics~~ provides a basis from which to ~~begin an~~
16 assessment of the influence of management on associated wildlife. These stands are
17 characterized by include large trees contributing to as part of a multilayered canopy
18 and the presence of large numbers of snags and downed logs that contribute to an
19 increased level of stand decadence and complexity. ~~Late seral stage~~ successional forest
20 ~~amount forest stands~~ may be evaluated by estimating the percentage of the land base
21 within the project and ~~a the~~ biological assessment area occupied by stands areas
22 conforming to the ~~following~~ definitions provided in 14 CCR 895.1. Late successional
23 forest stands of lesser extents than those as defined may be evaluated in a similar
24 manner. ÷

25 ~~Forests not previously harvested should be at least 80 acres in size to maintain the~~

1 ~~effects of edge. This acreage is variable based on the degree of similarity in surrounding~~
2 ~~areas. The area should include a multi-layered canopy, two or more tree species with~~
3 ~~several large coniferous trees per acre (smaller subdominant trees may be either conifers~~
4 ~~or hardwoods), large conifer snags, and an abundance of large woody debris.~~

5 ~~-Previously harvested forests are in many possible stages of succession some of which~~
6 ~~may be late-successional forests; others stands and may include remnant patches of late~~
7 ~~seral stagesuccessional forest, which generally conform to the definition of unharvested~~
8 ~~forests but do not meet these acreage criteria. Even if they are small in extent, they may~~
9 ~~be considered late successional based on stand age and structural attributes. A key~~
10 ~~consideration is the landscape distribution of late-successional forest stands and sizes of~~
11 ~~intact blocks of these stands in providing functional habitat for interior forest species and~~
12 ~~of sufficient size to ameliorate "depth-of-edge" effects.~~

13 **g. Late Seral ~~successional~~ Habitat ~~habitat~~ Continuity~~continuity~~:**

14 Projects containing areas meeting the definitions for late ~~seral-successional stage~~
15 ~~characteristicsforest stands~~ must be evaluated for late ~~seral-successional~~ habitat
16 continuity and functionality. The fragmentation and resultant isolation of late ~~seral~~
17 ~~successional~~ habitat types is one of the most significant factors influencing the
18 sustainability of wildlife populations, especially those not adapted to edge environments.

19 ~~This~~ fragmentation may be evaluated by estimating the ~~amount of the on-site number~~
20 ~~of acres within both the project area, and as well as~~ the biological assessment area
21 occupied by late ~~seral-successional forests~~ stands greater than ~~80-20-10~~ acres in size (or
22 ~~smaller~~ considering the mitigating influence of adjacent and similar habitat, if applicable)
23 and less than one mile apart or connected by a corridor of similar habitat.

24 **h. Special Habitat Elements:** The loss, ~~protection or maintenance~~ of a

25 key habitat elements may have a profound effect on a species even though the habitat is

Comment [CDFW57]: meaning what?

Comment [CDFW58]: what "these criteria"?

1 otherwise suitable. ~~Each~~ Species may have several key limiting factors ~~to consider~~. For
2 example, ~~a special need~~ for some large raptors needs large decadent trees/snags with
3 broken tops or other features. Terrestrial mammals may rely upon the presence of large
4 woody debris for denning, feeding, or movements~~scavaging opportunities~~. Large
5 hardwoods may provide desired mast or nesting opportunities. Juxtaposition of habitats
6 can be important: e.g., ~~d~~Deer may have habitat with adequate food and cover to support
7 a healthy population ~~size and composition~~, but they also dependent on a few critical
8 meadows suitable for fawning ~~success~~. ~~These and other key elements may need special~~
9 protection. Forest openings and young stands dominated by shrub species that have not
10 yet achieved the stem-exclusion stage of forest succession provide functional habitat for
11 many forest-dependent species. These and other key elements (e.g., talus, cliffs, small
12 woody debris) may need special protection.

13 **D. Recreational ~~CREATIONAL~~ Resources ~~ESOURCES~~:**

14 The recreational assessment area is generally the area that includes the logging area
15 plus 300 feet.

16 To assess recreational cumulative impacts:

17 **1.** Identify the recreational activities involving significant numbers of people
18 in and within 300 ft. of logging area (e.g., fishing, hunting, hiking, picnicking, camping).

19 **2.** Identify any recreational Special Treatment Areas described in the Board Rules
20 on the plan area or contiguous to the area.

21 **E. Visual ~~ISUAL~~ Resources ~~ESOURCES~~:**

22 The visual assessment area is generally the logging area that is readily visible to
23 significant numbers of people who are no further than three miles from the timber
24 operation. To assess visual cumulative effects:

25 **1.** Identify any Special Treatment Areas designated as such by the Board

1 because of their visual values.

2 **2.** Determine how far the proposed timber operation is from the nearest
3 point that significant numbers of people can view the timber operation. At distances of
4 greater than 3 miles from viewing points activities are not easily discernible and will be
5 less significant.

6 **3.** Identify the manner in which the public identified in 1 and 2 above will
7 view the proposed timber operation (from a vehicle on a public road, from a stationary
8 public viewing point or from a pedestrian pathway).

9 **F. Vehicular Traffic Impacts** ~~**VEHICULAR TRAFFIC IMPACTS:**~~

10 The traffic assessment area involves the first roads not part of the logging area on which
11 logging traffic must travel. To assess traffic cumulative effects:

12 **1.** Identify whether any publicly owned roads will be used for the transport
13 of wood products.

14 **2.** Identify any public roads that have not been used recently for the
15 transport of wood products and will be used to transport wood products from the
16 proposed timber harvest.

17 **3.** Identify any public roads that have existing traffic or maintenance
18 problems.

19 **4.** Identify how the logging vehicles used in the timber operation will change
20 the amount of traffic on public roads, especially during heavy traffic conditions.

21

22 **G. Greenhouse Gas** ~~**GREENHOUSE GASES (GHG) Impacts**~~**MPACTS:**

23

24 Cumulative GHG eEffects occur atmospherically where individual potential impacts are
25 combined to produce an effect that is greater than any of the individual impacts acting

1 alone. Factors to Tasks that may be -consider among others in the evaluation of
2 cumulative GHG effects are listed below.

Comment [CDFW59]: Redundant; already covered under the general definition.

3
4 1. Identify greenhouse gas emissions either directly or indirectly that may
5 have a significant effect on the environment.

Comment [CDFW60]: How is one to evaluate or determine this? Criteria?

6 2. Identify GHG emissions that conflict with an applicable plan, policy or
7 regulation adopted of the purpose of reducing GHG emissions.

8 3. Quantify the potential impacts, or lack thereof, through synthesis of the
9 following metrics:

10 A. Identification of planning horizon for GHG impacts assessment

11 B. Inventory, growth and harvest over planning horizon

12 C. Harvesting eEmissions over planning horizon

13 D. Long-termed storage from milling and wood product manufacturing
14 over planning horizon

15 A.E. Project sequestration over planning horizon

16 17 **H. Wildfire Hazard and Risk**

18 Modifications to fuel loading through timber harvest activities operations and stand-
19 tending operations and cultural practices may affect wildfire hazard and risk. In turn, these
20 this- can have the potentially affect- to create, increase or decrease cumulative effects to
21 watershed, soil, and biological resource values-effects . The extent of Aalteration to ef
22 overstory and understory structure and composition, as well as to fuel bed depths -are
23 affected to varying degrees- varies depending on the applied silviculture and , selected
24 yarding methods, and the types of site preparation employed. -or alternative treatments
25 identified within a Plan. Fire is an important habitat-forming process for fish and wildlife;

1 protection of fire-induced elements and provision of fire-mediated habitat processes
2 should be considered. Metrics that may be utilized to address fire hazard or risk may
3 include:

- ◇ Crown bulk density
- ◇ Overstory vegetative communities
- ◇ Crown base height/Height to live crown
- ◇ Understory vegetative communities
- ◇ Flame lengths
- ◇ Rate of spread
- ◇ Use of adjacent landscapes
- ◇ Use of project area
- ◇ Fire weather
- ◇ Ignition and fire history
- ◇ Current fuel loading
- ◇ Physical setting (e.g., highways near the project area)

5 **Amend 895.1 – Definitions**

6
7 **Project** means an activity which has the potential to cause a physical change in
8 the environment, directly or ultimately, and that is: 1) undertaken by a public agency, or
9 2) undertaken with public agency support, or 3) requires the applicant to obtain a lease,
10 permit, license or entitlement from one or more public agencies. This includes ~~Timber~~
11 Harvesting Plans.

12
13 **NOTE:** This regulatory amendment could be considered by the Board to accompany the
14 updating of Technical Rule Addendum # 2. The current revisions to Technical Rules
15 Addendum # 2 include replacing “THP” with “Plan”, therefore potentially requiring a
16 revision to the definition of “project” to clarify that all Plans would be considered projects
17 throughout the existing FPRs, inclusive of Technical Rule Addendum #2.

Comment [CDFW61]: CEQA requires evaluating the cumulative effects of projects, not only a certain category of projects.

