From: Hall, Dennis@CALFIRE
To: Gentry, George@CALFIRE; Huff, Eric@CALFIRE
Cc: Cafferata, Pete@CALFIRE; Shintaku, Duane@CALFIRE
Subject: FW: NCCFFF Input to BOF regarding Road Rules Implementation
Date: Friday, November 01, 2013 10:54:27 AM

YG:

Mike Laing asked that we share this at the next Board meeting. I do not know how it fits in the Board's deliberations on this topic, since the rules have been approved. I told Mike CAL FIRE would be willing to discuss opportunities to develop a more 'precise' completion report that accounted for the completion of mitigation points called out in the THP. The Board's committees (including MSG or EMC) may be good venues for future discussion.

Dennis O. Hall

Dennis O. Hall, RPF #2597
Staff Chief, Forest Practice
CAL FIRE
California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
1416 9th Street
P.O. Box 944246
Sacramento, CA 94244-2460
Phone (916) 653-9422
Fax (916) 653-8957
Dennis.Hall@fire.ca.gov

From: Hall, Dennis@CALFIRE
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2013 11:47 PM
To: mwlaing@aol.com
Subject: Re: NCCFFF Input to BOF regarding Road Rules Implementation

Will do

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

mwlaing@aol.com wrote:

Dennis--Yes, please route this to YG and cc Eric,

Thanks,

Mike

-----Original Message-----
From: Hall, Dennis@CALFIRE <Dennis.Hall@fire.ca.gov>
To: mwlaing <mwlaing@aol.com>
Cc: Cafferata, Pete@CALFIRE <Pete.Cafferata@fire.ca.gov>
Sent: Thu, Oct 31, 2013 5:49 pm
Subject: RE: NCCFFF Input to BOF regarding Road Rules Implementation

Mike,

Pete and I will not be attending the Board meeting this month due to other commitments. I may be there Thursday for the full board, but I'm not sure yet. Would you like us to forward this to Eric and/or YG or would you rather send it to them yourself?

Dennis O. Hall

Dennis O. Hall, RPF #2597
Staff Chief, Forest Practice
CAL FIRE
California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
1416 9th Street
P.O. Box 944246
Sacramento, CA 94244-2460
Phone (916) 653-9422
Fax (916) 653-8957
Dennis.Hall@fire.ca.gov

From: Cafferata, Pete@CALFIRE
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2013 8:39 AM
To: Hall, Dennis@CALFIRE
Subject: FW: NCCFFF Input to BOF regarding Road Rules Implementation

Dennis:
Should we submit this to YG and Eric, since we will not be in Chico?
Pete

From: mwlaing@aol.com [mailto:mwlaing@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2013 2:32 PM
To: Cafferata, Pete@CALFIRE; Hall, Dennis@CALFIRE
Subject: NCCFFF Input to BOF regarding Road Rules Implementation

Pete/Dennis--I would appreciate your presenting my request to the BOF for a measurement system that tracks the completion of work done to eliminate existing and potential sources of sediment.

Thanks in advance for your help.

Mike

The key to making the proposed new road rules effective in protecting salmonids and their habitats will be: Can the BOF develop an approach that insures the improvements in the road regulations are performed in a timely manner and that those performing the work (registered professional foresters (RPFs) or their authorized designees) are trained to perform the work in a standardized manner that is outlined in the technical addendum No.5. We recommend training seminars be quickly developed using the services of Pacific Watershed Associates (or other qualified entity). This will insure that the best management practices are understood by those responsible for performing the work.

In addition to the training described above, the BOF needs to develop a simple system to track the elimination of existing and potential sources of sediment. What the NCCFFF recommends is the adoption of goals and performance measures that are simple, easy to understand and implement, and accurately measure progress. The following description of performance measurement system is the same as the concept described in our comment letter to the BOF on 10/7/2013.

1. Road Rules 2013, 923.1 (G) page 24 of the plead published 8/23/13:

The Goal: The landowner and RPF are to identify existing sources and potential sources of sediment and the order of treatment to repair and eliminate these sources in a timely manner.

The performance measure: Percent of sources repaired or eliminated on a yearly basis. The measurement system: The RPF submits a map with the THP (or NTMP) application clearly showing existing and potential sediment sources. On a yearly basis, the RPF marks "Completed" on the noted sources and submits the updated map to CAL FIRE. CAL FIRE summarizes the results which show total number of sediment sources reported, the percent repaired or eliminated, sub grouped by THP and landowner. The reports are submitted to the BOF and the public so that progress can be monitored.

Another advantage of this approach would be to use the maps by CAL FIRE inspectors during their annual inspections of watercourse crossings during the prescribed maintenance periods (923.9 (U) page 63.

In addition, there may a benefit of requiring the landowner and or the RPF to submit their report prior to the start of the wet weather period or as an alternative, submit the report after the first major winter storm event. The earlier date may serve as a motivator to maximize the corrective work done prior to the rainy season. The later date (following a major storm event) will provide confirmation that the work done has eliminated the respective sources of sediment.

M.W.Laing
Federation of Fly Fishers